

## TOWN OF LOS ALTOS HILLS COMMUNITY PREFERENCES SURVEY

### TOWN CHARACTER

1. What is it about the physical character and appearance of the Town that you value? The following are some of the current features of Los Altos Hills. Please circle the number that best represents how you feel about each of the following:

a) Agricultural uses such as orchards and vineyards.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	81	7.1	7.2	7.2
Somewhat Valuable	177	15.5	15.7	22.9
Valuable	345	30.1	30.6	53.4
Very Valuable	514	44.9	45.5	98.9
No Opinion	12	1.0	1.1	100.0
	1129	98.6	100.0	
Missing	16	1.4		
Total	1145	100.0		

b) The presence of farm animals.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	324	28.3	28.6	28.6
Somewhat Valuable	308	26.9	27.2	55.9
Valuable	247	21.6	21.8	77.7
Very Valuable	227	19.8	20.1	97.8
No Opinion	25	2.2	2.2	100.0
	1131	98.8	100.0	
Missing	14	1.2		
Total	1145	100.0		

c) Spacious lots with large yards.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	23	2.0	2.0	2.0
Somewhat Valuable	66	5.8	5.9	7.9
Valuable	248	21.7	22.0	29.9
Very Valuable	788	68.8	69.9	99.8
No Opinion	2	.2	.2	100.0
	1127	98.4	100.0	
Missing	18	1.6		
Total	1145	100.0		

d) Narrow, winding roads not improved to typical city standards.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	309	27.0	27.5	27.5
Somewhat Valuable	226	19.7	20.1	47.6
Valuable	285	24.9	25.4	73.0
Very Valuable	283	24.7	25.2	98.2
No Opinion	20	1.7	1.8	100.0
	1123	98.1	100.0	
Missing	22	1.9		
Total	1145	100.0		

e) Roadside pathways instead of sidewalks.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	121	10.6	10.7	10.7
Somewhat Valuable	163	14.2	14.5	25.2
Valuable	314	27.4	27.8	53.0
Very Valuable	514	44.9	45.6	98.6
No Opinion	16	1.4	1.4	100.0
	1128	98.5	100.0	
Missing	17	1.5		
Total	1145	100.0		

f) Public off-road pathways that extend along side and near rear property lines.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	357	31.2	31.7	31.7
Somewhat Valuable	225	19.7	20.0	51.7
Valuable	186	16.2	16.5	68.3
Very Valuable	335	29.3	29.8	98.0
No Opinion	22	1.9	2.0	100.0
	1125	98.3	100.0	
Missing	20	1.7		
Total	1145	100.0		

g) Abundant trees and other vegetation.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	24	2.1	2.1	2.1
Somewhat Valuable	44	3.8	3.9	6.0
Valuable	191	16.7	16.8	22.8
Very Valuable	874	76.3	76.9	99.7
No Opinion	3	.3	.3	100.0
	1136	99.2	100.0	
Missing	9	.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

h) Naturally occurring vegetation (e.g. oak trees).

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	27	2.4	2.4	2.4
Somewhat Valuable	56	4.9	5.0	7.4
Valuable	210	18.3	18.6	26.0
Very Valuable	831	72.6	73.6	99.6
No Opinion	5	.4	.4	100.0
	1129	98.6	100.0	
Missing	16	1.4		
Total	1145	100.0		

i) Views of and from the hills

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	14	1.2	1.2	1.2
Somewhat Valuable	49	4.3	4.4	5.6
Valuable	179	15.6	15.9	21.5
Very Valuable	877	76.6	78.0	99.6
No Opinion	5	.4	.4	100.0
	1124	98.2	100.0	
Missing	21	1.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

j) A residential community with no commercial areas.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	55	4.8	4.8	4.8
Somewhat Valuable	101	8.8	8.9	13.7
Valuable	179	15.6	15.8	29.5
Very Valuable	790	69.0	69.6	99.1
No Opinion	10	.9	.9	100.0
	1135	99.1	100.0	
Missing	10	.9		
Total	1145	100.0		

k) Absence of streetlights.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	196	17.1	17.3	17.3
Somewhat Valuable	209	18.3	18.5	35.8
Valuable	254	22.2	22.4	58.2
Very Valuable	455	39.7	40.2	98.4
No Opinion	18	1.6	1.6	100.0
	1132	98.9	100.0	
Missing	13	1.1		
Total	1145	100.0		

l) Absence of traffic signals.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	102	8.9	9.0	9.0
Somewhat Valuable	164	14.3	14.5	23.5
Valuable	255	22.3	22.5	46.0
Very Valuable	598	52.2	52.8	98.8
No Opinion	14	1.2	1.2	100.0
	1133	99.0	100.0	
Missing	12	1.0		
Total	1145	100.0		

m) A minimum of 1-acre for new lots.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Valuable	21	1.8	1.9	1.9
Somewhat Valuable	27	2.4	2.4	4.2
Valuable	92	8.0	8.1	12.3
Very Valuable	988	86.3	87.0	99.4
No Opinion	7	.6	.6	100.0
	1135	99.1	100.0	
Missing	10	.9		
Total	1145	100.0		

Other (Please describe):

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Blank Responses	941	82.2	82.2	82.2
Bike laws & walkways on all roads. Current lack is dangerous.	1	.1	.1	82.3
No imposed pathways	1	.1	.1	82.4
As long as current sq. ft. allowances are kept, current setback s/b fine.	1	.1	.1	82.4
Above does not adequately issue of Monster homes; prefer 1 story only above ground.	1	.1	.1	82.5
1. Horses, chickens, goats preferred - not others. Llamas viewed similar to horse. 2. Ok it and only if fire access is workable. 3. Should have public poison oak abatement. 4. Ok - No signs for home based business. No retail warehouse or frequent visits	1	.1	.1	83.1
It's a shame that the rural town has been overwhelmed with huge concrete starter castles!!!!	1	.1	.1	83.2
In fact, it isn't what it is now, but it would be nice if it were again.	1	.1	.1	84.0
A few streetlights should be allowed for safety when needed.	1	.1	.1	84.1
A guest cottage or guest quarters should be considered	1	.1	.1	84.2
A high degree of owner freedom to remove fire hazard and safety are valid concerns for Town Hall.	1	.1	.1	84.3
A rural atmosphere.	1	.1	.1	84.4
A town council which citizens can trust to respect them and the ordinances, rules, and procedures they have been elected to enforce.	1	.1	.1	84.5
A very nice country atmosphere.	1	.1	.1	84.5
Absence of commercial business is vital	1	.1	.1	84.6
Absence of pink houses, absence of fences.	1	.1	.1	84.7
Absence of power lines	1	.1	.1	84.8
Absolutely important-One acre minimum zoning	1	.1	.1	84.9
Addendum to Preference survey! 1.d if narrow roads continue then sidewalks are necessary to roads widen, Paths would be better... As a daily road walker 1. Cars drive fast and don't often give way...2. It is dangerous to push baby cart on roadway...	1	.1	.1	85.0
All of the above is what brought my family here over 40 years ago	1	.1	.1	85.1
All of these things make LAH unique. Also, houses set back from the road.	1	.1	.1	85.2
All roads need sidewalks!!!	1	.1	.1	85.2
Also increase setbacks with height.	1	.1	.1	85.3
An important question not asked-the character of houses: until recent years LAH had a mix of houses that mostly blended into the landscape and were more rural than town in character. They gave the charm and prestige that is now being eroded by the hotel	1	.1	.1	85.4
Any rural area like LAH has its beauty because of the natural environment/wildlife, not because of the big houses.	1	.1	.1	85.5
Best residential	1	.1	.1	85.6
Better maintenance of easement areas	1	.1	.1	85.7
Building restriction on color, height would be valuable	1	.1	.1	85.8
But what is Suburban?	1	.1	.1	85.9
By having one or more acres it will allow for green areas around the home and between homes. We should have a requirement for green or un-buildable areas to maintain the rural atmosphere of LAH.	1	.1	.1	85.9
Byrne Park is protected- if a future city council wants to use it for other than park purposes, it reverts to Nature conservancy. We need a similar protection for the 14.6 acres of Westwind Barn!	1	.1	.1	86.0
Chickens and roasters should be limited and placed at least 20 feet from neighbors' property	1	.1	.1	86.1
City should accept responsibility to keep all roads in repair; number of "private " roads should be minimized.	1	.1	.1	86.2
Clusters with lots of open space more appropriate for some sites	1	.1	.1	86.3
Currently our roads are unsafe. We need guard rails, appropriate street lighting, sidewalks, clean street signs, and general clean up. All of this can be achieved without sacrificing the natural beauty of the area.	1	.1	.1	86.4
Designer vineyards aren't real agriculture.	1	.1	.1	86.5
Detracts from character as if ignores private property rights.	1	.1	.1	86.6
Do not destroy what you have come to enjoy!	1	.1	.1	86.6

Do not like pathways behind and beside homes. Security issue.	1	.1	.1	86.7
Do not like those horrible electric lines above ground also antennas on houses ugly!!	1	.1	.1	86.8
Do whatever necessary to improve the image of the Town by replacing, remodeling, landscape the Ugly Town Hall.	1	.1	.1	86.9
Eliminate pathways.	1	.1	.1	87.0
Externally visible characteristics of new developments, such as houses and support structures s/b required.	1	.1	.1	87.1
General freedom of property rights.	1	.1	.1	87.2
Get rid of all off road pathways L.A.H. should not be providing hiking trails for the general public	1	.1	.1	87.2
Has not worked. Large homes in ugly colors are being built time of building construction should be reasonable not protracted over years.	1	.1	.1	87.3
Having grown up in the LAH area, I value what used to be no glaring white/pink homes, no grandiose homes with columns etc. huge mansions that stick out like sore thumbs as one drives thru LAH on 280, no gross displays of wealth. The original rural atmosphere.	1	.1	.1	87.4
Height --really depends upon the lot; however, if pressed for a yes-no answer, I'd say 27' about right on average.	1	.1	.1	87.5
Height of buildings and trees should not block neighbor's views.	1	.1	.1	87.6
Highest priority is preserving the one-acre minimum.	1	.1	.1	87.7
Homeowners should not be forced or blackmailed into giving up part of their land for pathways. If they are within the town's guidelines should be allowed to build without restrictions.	1	.1	.1	87.8
Home size should be limited to 5000 ft. not 60000	1	.1	.1	87.9
Homes that "fit in" very valuable to the land, not stick up and out like a sore thumb!	1	.1	.1	87.9
Homes that are not too large for lot.	1	.1	.1	88.0
Homes that fit the land and landscape-minimal disturbance to the land from construction & Large setbacks-not minimized by driveways & turning areas	1	.1	.1	88.1
Horse trails	1	.1	.1	88.2
Horses should be allowed. Gardens too. Greenhouses, office in homes for professionals such as psychologist & low traffic activity	1	.1	.1	88.3
Houses getting too big.	1	.1	.1	88.4
Houses not lit up at night - night sky visible	1	.1	.1	88.5
Houses that are large or uncharacteristic should be hidden from view or setback very far from street.	1	.1	.1	88.6
I basically want police and fire protection and well-maintained streets. Beyond that, the less town government, the better.	1	.1	.1	88.6
I like informal businesses-e.g. fruit & veggie stands	1	.1	.1	88.7
I think that attempting to maintain the fiction that this a rural environment is totally unrealistic and despite all those who championed their viewpoint, the big houses got built anyway, the ridgeline were built on, and views got landscaped out.	1	.1	.1	88.8
Minimum traffic, setting maximum home size to 5000 sq. ft.	1	.1	.1	88.9
Increase acre minimum for new subdivisions.	1	.1	.1	89.0
Informality	1	.1	.1	89.1
It is OK with me if someone has farm animals.	1	.1	.1	89.2
It would be nice if our current regulations were enforced uniformly across the board without subjective interpretation. I would strengthen two areas of home approval 1) require more landscaping for new homes and more mature trees planted prior to final.	1	.1	.1	89.3
It would be nice to have a community commercial area with a grocery store like Roberts in Woodside. The pathways are becoming intrusive. Any additional pathways must be VOLUNTARY.	1	.1	.1	89.3
Items K & L are marked "somewhat valuable" due only to safety concerns.	1	.1	.1	89.4
K- Streetlights at intersections only. Suggestion: plant flowering trees in roadway easements.	1	.1	.1	89.5
Keep debris picked up along roadways & around foothill.	1	.1	.1	89.6
Keep roadsides clear of growth, especially at corners & bends for visibility. And to facilitate bicycle riding.	1	.1	.1	89.7
Lack of fencing, walls, and visual barriers particularly roadside.	1	.1	.1	89.8
LAH should remain on agricultural, rural residential area, including the use of farm animals and wildlife -including livestock & fowl!!!	1	.1	.1	89.9
Large spaces between houses	1	.1	.1	90.0
Large will Kept homes	1	.1	.1	90.0
Let the planning department and the City council do it.	1	.1	.1	90.1
Like vegetation but dislike weeds along roads for example along Fremont rd. near town hall 2. 25MPH throughout town-not 35MPH like on Fremont Rd.	1	.1	.1	90.2
Limited through town road traffic, Natural creek settings - not straightening and widening as was done on Adobe Creek along moody road several	1	.1	.1	90.3

years ago.				
Location, Location. No government general plan land use restrictions. No slope density.	1	.1	.1	90.4
Lots with large yards above should be native & draught tolerant plants.	1	.1	.1	90.5
Low crime.	1	.1	.1	90.6
Low-density development- fairly applied.	1	.1	.1	90.7
Low light or no light for lighting houses at night need for light reduction at night	1	.1	.1	90.7
Low light or not light only for lighting houses at night need for light reduction at night	1	.1	.1	90.8
M is most important to me.	1	.1	.1	90.9
Maintain pathways, get mailboxes moved out of path.	1	.1	.1	91.0
Maintain quiet. Maintain health of creeks.	1	.1	.1	91.1
Maintenance of yard areas -no un-drivable auto in yard or driveway.	1	.1	.1	91.2
Major streets should have sidewalks so children can safely walk to school: Summerhill, Magdalena, El Monte	1	.1	.1	91.3
Mass plantings & other measures to reduce sight and noise impact of 280.	1	.1	.1	91.4
Minimal lawns-Maximum natural landscape	1	.1	.1	91.4
minimization of fencing; large house; lots of fruit trees	1	.1	.1	91.5
Minimum lot size must measure with slope as in our slope density.	1	.1	.1	91.6
Minimum lot size should be 2 acres for new lots.	1	.1	.1	91.7
More than one acre for new lots	1	.1	.1	91.8
Most important.. Don't even think of changing this. #M	1	.1	.1	91.9
Need "pooper scooper" laws.	1	.1	.1	92.0
Need 1st class internet & cable service, decrepit, unsightly overhead phone & utility wires need burying	1	.1	.1	92.1
Need to respect the property owners that are affected.	1	.1	.1	92.1
Never reduce lot size too less than 1 acre.	1	.1	.1	92.2
New enforced limits on house sizes. Mega mansions are too big, also limit over landscaping, more natural areas please.	1	.1	.1	92.3
New residences should not block their neighbor's view!!! Toni Casey!!!	1	.1	.1	92.4
No buildings, homes or skylines, do we want to look like Fremont? No matter how much money they have.	1	.1	.1	92.5
No commercial buildings	1	.1	.1	92.6
No deer, which eat our gardens	1	.1	.1	92.7
No fences (non see through)	1	.1	.1	92.8
No monster mansions.	1	.1	.1	92.8
No more boxy, huge statement homes. Let those who love columns move to Atherton where they fit in. Homes should fit rural environment.	1	.1	.1	92.9
No more monster homes!!!!	1	.1	.1	93.0
No on street parking.	1	.1	.1	93.1
No right to use up land and charge expense to homeowners without due compensation.	1	.1	.1	93.2
Noise abatement measures along I-280 very valuable	1	.1	.1	93.3
Non obtrusive house profiles & colors. Noise controls, e.g. Barking dogs	1	.1	.1	93.4
Number of present lots? Maximum of possible lots?	1	.1	.1	93.4
Off-road paths should be voluntary.	1	.1	.1	93.5
F : Valuable if they have been traditional.	1	.1	.1	93.6
Pathway prioritized should be given to places of greatest need -such as continuous all weather paths along busy winding streets such as Conception where school children and other frequently run/walk. Then MAINTAIN THEM!	1	.1	.1	93.7
Pathways are not good with the present use of material-Asphalt much better.	1	.1	.1	93.8
Pathways required when applying for a remodeling building permits. Pathways, which start nowhere and end nowhere, are ridiculous! Pathways through backs of property are an invitation to crime.	1	.1	.1	93.9
Pathways should be black topped so that people do not have to walk and push strollers in the street when the path is wet.	1	.1	.1	94.0
Pathways should be cement	1	.1	.1	94.1
Place all utilities underground.	1	.1	.1	94.1
Place electric power lines underground.	1	.1	.1	94.2
Please do not restrict sq. footage of basements in new policies	1	.1	.1	94.3
Please no extremism of either architecture or rural. We prefer large lovely homes with abundant vegetation.	1	.1	.1	94.4
Power lines should be buried!!!	1	.1	.1	94.5
Privacy.... Which means no pathways.	1	.1	.1	94.6
Prohibit bicycle riders where no bike lanes exist	1	.1	.1	94.7
Provide sanitary sewer - eliminate septic tanks	1	.1	.1	94.8
Public facilities available to the entire public	1	.1	.1	94.8
Public off road pathways should be with property owners cooperation/permission.	1	.1	.1	94.9
Questions are a little skewed to reflect biased answers.	1	.1	.1	95.0

Quiet/no light intrusions. E.g. Skylights & tree lighting, minimal fences-esp.-chain links.	1	.1	.1	95.1
Re: 4-to LAH. Standards Req.: 5- use a asphalt pathway instead of crushed pebbles.	1	.1	.1	95.2
Responsible neighbors	1	.1	.1	95.3
Road repair, drainage is important, underground wires else in good repair.	1	.1	.1	95.4
Safety is of utmost importance. Nature reads lead to drainage issue.	1	.1	.1	95.5
Sewer system needed in areas using septic systems	1	.1	.1	95.5
Sewer system, underground utilities	1	.1	.1	95.6
Short connecting paths between roads with minimum impact privacy of homeowners.	1	.1	.1	95.7
Small houses, 3000 sq. ft or less lots with undeveloped areas.	1	.1	.1	95.8
Spacious, open, unfenced & ungated lots providing wildlife habitat	1	.1	.1	95.9
Spectacular views!	1	.1	.1	96.0
Speed bumps or other on Moody Road.	1	.1	.1	96.1
Stay w/existing guidelines	1	.1	.1	96.2
Stop allowi ng monster homes encourage more carpooling for students	1	.1	.1	96.2
Stop castle building! Each person can only occupy the space he's standing in at any one time. Los Altos is becoming absurd for the size of houses that stand empty most of the time. Enough trophy houses!! Get back to reality.	1	.1	.1	96.3
Storm drain clean up along narrow winding roads.	1	.1	.1	96.4
Suburban levels of residential density will kill the community	1	.1	.1	96.5
Sufficient space between houses. House with privacy.	1	.1	.1	96.6
Support Westwind barn!! Support Byrne preserve!!	1	.1	.1	96.7
The harm done by the town in forcing residents to give up land to connect the off road pathway system far exceeds the benefits of the present off-road pathway system which doesn't even work, now or in the foreseeable future.	1	.1	.1	96.8
The hill construction is unique and each development should be decided on an individual basis. It cannot be decided on a flat proposed general plan.	1	.1	.1	96.9
The town should continue its policy of low-density housing, assuming the policy is fairly applied.	1	.1	.1	96.9
The village in the Bullis plan could insure the necessary improvements will be made. I feel so strongly about forging a coalition between the village of LAH & Bullis, I will pledge to help implement this plan	1	.1	.1	97.0
There are various sections on Magdalena Ave Where I reside, where there are neither sidewalks nor roadside pathways. Where am I supposed to walk safely with my kids? Also the road is too narrow and not wide enough to take the chance! Please look into this.	1	.1	.1	97.1
These do not have to co-exist. Roads should be maintained without potholes.. etc...	1	.1	.1	97.2
This is a precious & unique place, let's keep it that way & not become Atherton Hills.	1	.1	.1	97.3
To stay as rural as possible.	1	.1	.1	97.4
Town-wide Internet access (DSL or cable) - very important	1	.1	.1	97.5
Traffic lights at major intersection would be valuable as a safety measure	1	.1	.1	97.6
Traffic stop signs are prudent/necessary (red/green lights not even necessary)	1	.1	.1	97.6
Unobtrusive homes, of any size - very valuable	1	.1	.1	97.7
Underground utilities	1	.1	.1	97.8
Underground utilities very valuable	1	.1	.1	97.9
Underground utilities.	1	.1	.1	98.0
Underground wiring/ better cae of pathways	1	.1	.1	98.1
Uninterrupted views with houses nestling among the natural vegetation.	1	.1	.1	98.2
Use general fund to upgrade roads, landscape, boulders, bridges, trellis, etc	1	.1	.1	98.3
Use of Sanitary sewer lines	1	.1	.1	98.3
Very valuable--absence of fortress type fences.	1	.1	.1	98.4
View- we use to have a view - It is now almost completely blocked by trees! When we moved to the Hills in 1961 we could see from the living room in a chair from S.F. tower at the Bay Bridge for 190' clockwise to the hills behind us. Now we have 10' views.	1	.1	.1	98.5
Views are the reason to live in the hills!! Trees must be controlled, especially planted trees. Protect existing views.	1	.1	.1	98.6
Views of hills is valuable, views from hills not valuable.	1	.1	.1	98.7
Vineyards are not an acceptable agricultural use, they produce too much chemical run off.	1	.1	.1	98.8
We chose to live here for the way LAH IS Don't mess it up!!!	1	.1	.1	98.9
We chose to move to LAH because of the rural country atmosphere. Farm animals give our area character. We don't want our LAH to look like other areas that are avoid of horses, goats, chickens etc.. Hurray for the animals and wildlife.	1	.1	.1	99.0

We dislike chain link fencing. Particular silver. Fencing should allow for the movement of small wildlife when possible (not around agricultural areas.	1	.1	.1	99.0
We have to many very large homes.	1	.1	.1	99.1
We love the rural atmosphere of this area. If it continues to change in a "non-rural" direction- we will relocate.	1	.1	.1	99.2
We moved here for the semi-rural environment. We don't want this to become another Atherton.	1	.1	.1	99.3
We must have street lights	1	.1	.1	99.4
We need one or the other, the current system of occasional poorly maintained pathwa ys is not acceptable.	1	.1	.1	99.5
We need pathways and/or sidewalks - somewhere to walk!!!	1	.1	.1	99.6
We strongly oppose monster homes that are put of character with the rural surroundings	1	.1	.1	99.7
We were very pleased to be given an opportunity to express our views about "our" town.	1	.1	.1	99.7
We wish the town could still be called rural but I (we) think that the development over the last 7-10 years has sucked the soul out of the Hills & destroyed the rural atmosphere.	1	.1	.1	99.8
Would like to see 7000 feet maximum if side and rear yard were increased 40'. Would also like to see some small 1-2' height addition if architect style calls for it. But no flat roof. Roof pitch must be steeper. We don't like chopped off styles and curves.	1	.1	.1	99.9
You use the word "Rural" it was a rural area once, but over building with "monster homes has destroyed that ambience	1	.1	.1	100.0
Total	1145	100.0	100.0	

2. Would you prefer the Land Use Element of the General Plan to characterize the Town as (please check one):

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Blank	17	1.5	1.5	1.5
Rural	438	38.3	38.3	39.7
Semi-Rural	585	51.1	51.1	91.0
Suburban	77	6.7	6.7	97.7
No Opinion	18	1.6	1.6	99.3
Other				
Semi-rural w/narrow sidewalks/streetlights for safety.	1	.1	.1	99.4
1,2	1	.1	.1	39.8
(marked with a check mark, no comment)	1	.1	.1	99.5
These people can go to Saratoga if they want Suburban.	1	.1	.1	99.5
Do not like any of the terms.	1	.1	.1	99.6
Fair these other categories sound like political B. S.	1	.1	.1	99.7
Protected Hills	1	.1	.1	99.7
Residential agricultural zone or rural as per Woodside's designations.	1	.1	.1	99.8
Rural	1	.1	.1	99.9
Why any?	1	.1	.1	100.0
Total	1145	100.0	100.0	

#### DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AND REVIEW PROCESS

3. As the size of homes increase should they be set back farther from the roads and neighboring homes?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	852	74.4	74.4	75.1
Yes, give 27' height flexibility also!!	1	.1	.1	2.0
Why do sizes have to increase?	1	.1	.1	2.1
A strong yes!!	1	.1	.1	2.2
Don' allow bigger homes	1	.1	.1	2.3
house sizes are too bit-need a cap on floor area	1	.1	.1	2.4
The size of homes should be smaller	1	.1	.1	2.4
Too big now!!!	1	.1	.1	2.5
this is a big issue	1	.1	.1	2.6
Why must they increase?	1	.1	.1	75.2
But only somewhat.	1	.1	.1	75.3
No	166	14.5	14.5	89.8
The set back is already very far	1	.1	.1	89.9
Should be considered on an individual bases	1	.1	.1	90.0
No Opinion	72	6.3	6.3	95.3
As long as they could have mature trees to hide it.	1	.1	.1	95.4
Case by Case review	1	.1	.1	95.5
Depends on lot	1	.1	.1	95.5
Have a maximum	1	.1	.1	95.6
The size of homes does not need to increase.	1	.1	.1	95.7
If possible	1	.1	.1	95.8

	Should be judged on an individual basis.	1	.1	.1	95.9
	Why do they need to increase in size?	1	.1	.1	96.0
	Farther than what?	1	.1	.1	96.1
	How can they increase if these are Size restrictions?	1	.1	.1	97.1
	40' is fine nothing disappears	1	.1	.1	97.2
Other	A cap on max size per acreage	1	.1	.1	97.4
	<b>(marked with a check mark, no comment)</b>	1	.1	.1	97.3
	Bigger house, bigger set back	1	.1	.1	97.5
	Current seems ok.	1	.1	.1	97.6
	Depends on lot and location.	1	.1	.1	97.6
	Depend on lot, but a little setback looks better.	1	.1	.1	97.7
	depends on more criteria than just the size of home to determine set back	1	.1	.1	97.8
	Depends on the specific circumstances of each.	1	.1	.1	97.9
	Each should be evaluated individually	1	.1	.1	98.0
	From neighboring homes	1	.1	.1	98.1
	How much bigger will they get?	1	.1	.1	98.2
	If a house is multi story it would be judged differently than a single story large home.	1	.1	.1	98.3
	In general yes, but exceptions can be made	1	.1	.1	98.3
	Land owner should decide	1	.1	.1	98.4
	Maintain current set back	1	.1	.1	98.5
	Minimum setback standard.	1	.1	.1	98.6
	Not necessarily	1	.1	.1	98.7
	Please limit size too reasonable.	1	.1	.1	98.8
	Put more underground basements are nice.	1	.1	.1	98.9
	Reasonably set back	1	.1	.1	99.0
	Required lot size should be larger for larger home.	1	.1	.1	99.0
	Set back should increase with height.	1	.1	.1	99.1
	Setbacks should be based on circumstances, not policy	1	.1	.1	99.2
	Should be a function of height	1	.1	.1	99.3
	Size should be controlled to save electricity and fossil fuels.	1	.1	.1	99.4
	Size should not increase	1	.1	.1	99.5
	Stay w/in gridlines of current setbacks	1	.1	.1	99.6
	the houses are to big already & cause drainage problems	1	.1	.1	99.7
	There should be a maximum size.	1	.1	.1	99.7
	They should be set back further from neighboring property lines	1	.1	.1	99.8
	Those hotel size ones should be all the way on top of hills not close to town.	1	.1	.1	99.9
	yes	1	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	1145	100.0	100.0	

4. The owner of a one-acre, flat lot is currently allowed to build 6,000 square feet of "floor area" (i.e. building square footage), and approximately 15,000 sq. ft. of "development area," which includes all building floor area and other paved surfaces (driveways, pools, etc.). Allowable development is then more restricted as the slope of the land increases. Required front yards are 40 feet, and side and rear yards are 30 feet. The height of homes is restricted to 27 feet. Do you feel that each of these regulations are:

a) Floor area

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Too Restrictive	201	17.6	17.9	17.9
About Right	705	61.6	62.7	80.6
Too Permissive	187	16.3	16.6	97.2
Don't Know	23	2.0	2.0	99.3
No Opinion	8	.7	.7	100.0
	1124	98.2	100.0	
Missing	21	1.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

b) Development Area

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Too Restrictive	252	22.0	22.4	22.4
About Right	621	54.2	55.3	77.7
Too Permissive	208	18.2	18.5	96.3
Don't Know	29	2.5	2.6	98.8
No Opinion	13	1.1	1.2	100.0
	1123	98.1	100.0	
Missing	22	1.9		
Total	1145	100.0		



c) Yard Setbacks

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Too Restrictive	117	10.2	10.4	10.4
About Right	829	72.4	74.0	84.5
Too Permissive	142	12.4	12.7	97.1
Don't Know	23	2.0	2.1	99.2
No Opinion	9	.8	.8	100.0
	1120	97.8	100.0	
Missing	25	2.2		
Total	1145	100.0		

d) Height

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Too Restrictive	190	16.6	16.9	16.9
About Right	724	63.2	64.5	81.4
Too Permissive	173	15.1	15.4	96.8
Don't Know	27	2.4	2.4	99.2
No Opinion	9	.8	.8	100.0
	1123	98.1	100.0	
Missing	22	1.9		
Total	1145	100.0		

5. Should the allowed size of a house be reduced as lots become more steep?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	683	59.7	60.9	60.9
Neutral	150	13.1	13.4	74.3
No	253	22.1	22.6	96.9
No Opinion	35	3.1	3.1	100.0
	1121	97.9	100.0	
Missing	24	2.1		
Total	1145	100.0		

6. Do you consider the existing storm water drainage system to be adequate?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	447	39.0	39.6	39.6
Neutral	170	14.8	15.1	54.7
No	362	31.6	32.1	86.8
No Opinion	149	13.0	13.2	100.0
	1128	98.5	100.0	
Missing	17	1.5		
Total	1145	100.0		

7. Currently, the Town's Site Development Review process is used to determine whether proposed development conforms to Town policies. This process generally includes public hearings where neighbors are allowed to comment on proposed projects. Please check the statement that best represents your view on this issue:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
a)	In general, homes should blend in not "make a statement".	577	50.4	50.4	55.3
	Perhaps b should be given some consideration.	1	.1	.1	4.5
	Planning commission should see more homes from Fast Track if they cause a problem for neighbors	1	.1	.1	4.5
	Remove the "fast track" process	1	.1	.1	4.6
	Planning commission should continue w/o council.	1	.1	.1	4.7
	Waiver for over 6000 o.k. in some cases(I.e. over acre)	1	.1	.1	4.8
	Close loopholes, e.g.: no review for phased remodels	1	.1	.1	4.9
	1 and 2 feel strongly about both. The house built 30 to the inch from our property is completely unsuited to the lot.	1	.1	.1	51.0
	Current procedure is cumbersome but necessary. There are already too many people doing whatever they please already!	1	.1	.1	51.1
	Get some information articles about this issue in the town crier.	1	.1	.1	51.2
	input from neighbors is essential	1	.1	.1	51.3
	The fees for building permit are higher compared with other cities in Santa Clara Co.	1	.1	.1	51.4
	Homes currently built are too large, too close to each other and roadways.	1	.1	.1	51.4
b)		135	11.8	11.8	63.2
	professionals yes, but need to be careful not to dictate architecture	1	.1	.1	63.3
c)		363	31.7	31.7	95.0
	Landscaping could be used to block public view of eyesore, unkempt yards, such as the corner of Stonebrook Ave. and Stonebrook corner and El Monte and Stonebrook. Are there no regulations for this?	1	.1	.1	95.1
	A town council that enforces standards and regulations. A town council that does not bully opposition and consistently overhaul stuff.	1	.1	.1	95.2
	Definitely NOT	1	.1	.1	95.3

	I believe in strong property rights for owner development. And I prefer the town to encourage development of ground homes and grounds which promotes constantly rising property values such as in Saratoga, Hillsboro, Beverly Hills, Greenwich Connecticut,	1	.1	.1	95.4
	Plus neighborhood input	1	.1	.1	95.5
	The problem LAH has encountered is that the opinions of a few people are determining development rather than the will of the people in general or an adherence to code.	1	.1	.1	95.5
Other	Each project judged individually- One size does not fit all.	1	.1	.1	95.6
	A combination on all of the above. So many points for each with a minimum to qualify	1	.1	.1	95.7
	Statement C except for the addition of public input.	1	.1	.1	95.8
	With public input if projects do not meet the standards (in addition to planning commission review)	1	.1	.1	95.9
	Planning commissions made up of 1/2 members are qualified architects, working with staff. Decisions not to be made by Mayor or any elected official.	1	.1	.1	96.0
		8	.7	.7	96.7
	Don't infringe on the right of a landowner. Keep the government out of life of a landowner.	1	.1	.1	96.8
	The planning commission for town staff should revert to honest & true compliance with town standards as they exist.	1	.1	.1	96.9
	We need both planning commission and architectural review board.	1	.1	.1	96.9
	Should be kept and b. added to it.	1	.1	.1	97.0
	Size and appearance and water control enforced.	1	.1	.1	97.1
	Your current policy *She never saw a variance she did n't like is the biggest abuse of whatever process you are using.	1	.1	.1	97.2
	a; Neighbors concerns and reservations need to be taken seriously and addressed respectfully & thoroughly	1	.1	.1	97.3
	Absolutely Not. Public improvements dump water on private land. These are very large erosion areas.	1	.1	.1	97.4
	All the above	1	.1	.1	97.5
	Architectural review board established making recommendations to Planning commission.	1	.1	.1	97.6
	But how is compliance determined?	1	.1	.1	97.6
	But understand intent of guideline/rule.	1	.1	.1	97.7
	Combine 1&2	1	.1	.1	97.8
	Definitely need neighbors input - not sure on how review should otherwise be structured?	1	.1	.1	97.9
	Dogs (all) should be on leash off owners property	1	.1	.1	98.0
	Don't believe some current building has been review, as the lot development far exceeds MD!	1	.1	.1	98.1
	Greater efforts should be made to minimize the visual impact of buildings. Natural vegetation and land conformations should be maximized.	1	.1	.1	98.2
	Guidelines should be enforced	1	.1	.1	98.3
	Has not worked, large homes in ugly colors are being built. Time of building construction should be r	1	.1	.1	98.3
	Have an architectural review consultant board.	1	.1	.1	98.4
	How about building soundproof walls around 280	1	.1	.1	98.5
	If a proposed building meets all requirements it should be approved, neighbors should not have the right to contest it.	1	.1	.1	98.6
	Los Altos Hills architecture is a joke, at best, among the peninsula's professional architectural community. Professional review in addition to community review would help prevent us from embarrassing ourselves further.	1	.1	.1	98.7
	More consideration of current neighbors to building projects I.e. setbacks, screening, noise, traffic, dust	1	.1	.1	98.8
	New housed in bad taste.	1	.1	.1	98.9
	On completion very few sites conform to the proposed development. The town is very lax on compliance with plans and town codes.	1	.1	.1	99.0
	Please listen to those with lots of seniority	1	.1	.1	99.0
	Public opinion has no value when dealing with town council members	1	.1	.1	99.1
	Publish a set of architectural guidelines (e.g. Structure should blend to architectural review board which may interpret guidelines with community input if necessary.	1	.1	.1	99.2
	Review & Regulate if guidelines are fair, clear agreed upon by Majority	1	.1	.1	99.3
	Same as a but includes an architectural review board so that we don't have Mediterranean villas w/ roof tiles from Province coming up all over the place. We need to preserve the rural feel of the town	1	.1	.1	99.4
	Same as with oversight and reporting to town council.	1	.1	.1	99.5
	Set rules and follow them. If a project meets all the rules it should be allowed - even in my backyard.	1	.1	.1	99.6
	Standards should be simple intuitive	1	.1	.1	99.7
	The town should function as an arbitrator in the event of neighbors	1	.1	.1	99.7

	concerns				
	Town staff should review but notification adjacent property owners is desired	1	.1	.1	99.8
	We should continue the current process but reduce planning commission opinion and focus on standards with opportunity for public opinion	1	.1	.1	99.9
	With neighbors input	1	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	1145	100.0	100.0	

8. The Town sometimes requires the dedication of conservation easements on private property with slopes of 30% or more at the time of new development. This is intended to protect areas of steep slopes, creeks, or significant stands of oak trees from disturbance. Please check the statement that best represents your view of this issue.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
a)	629	54.9	56.9	56.9
b)	259	22.6	23.4	80.4
c)	217	19.0	19.6	100.0
	1105	96.5	100.0	
Missing	40	3.5		
Total	1145	100.0		

9. Regarding views:

a) Should new homes be restricted in height in order to preserve the views of neighbors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	881	76.9	77.7	77.7
Neutral	113	9.9	10.0	87.7
No	134	11.7	11.8	99.5
No Opinion	6	.5	.5	100.0
	1134	99.0	100.0	
Missing	11	1.0		
Total	1145	100.0		

b) Should new landscaping be restricted in height in order to preserve the views of neighbors?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	769	67.2	67.7	67.7
Neutral	184	16.1	16.2	83.9
No	166	14.5	14.6	98.5
No Opinion	17	1.5	1.5	100.0
	1136	99.2	100.0	
Missing	9	.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

10. Regarding outdoor lighting:

a) Outdoor lighting on private property should be regulated to control light impacts to surrounding properties and to control the potential for "skyglow".

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Strongly Disagree	83	7.2	7.4	7.4
Disagree	113	9.9	10.1	17.5
Neutral	137	12.0	12.2	29.7
Agree	358	31.3	31.9	61.6
Strongly Agree	431	37.6	38.4	100.0
	1122	98.0	100.0	
Missing	23	2.0		
Total	1145	100.0		

b) Outdoor lighting should be allowed to accent buildings and landscaping, provided that glare on neighbors is minimized.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Strongly Disagree	100	8.7	8.9	8.9
Disagree	126	11.0	11.3	20.2
Neutral	115	10.0	10.3	30.5
Agree	516	45.1	46.2	76.7
Strongly Agree	261	22.8	23.3	100.0
	1118	97.6	100.0	
Missing	27	2.4		
Total	1145	100.0		

c) Outdoor lighting should not be regulated.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	172	15.0	15.7	15.7
Neutral	213	18.6	19.5	35.2
No	709	61.9	64.8	100.0
	1094	95.5	100.0	
Missing	51	4.5		
Total	1145	100.0		

11. Should the town regulate the design, materials, or colors used for fences and walls?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	580	50.7	51.3	51.3
Neutral	184	16.1	16.3	67.6
No	351	30.7	31.0	98.6
No Opinion	16	1.4	1.4	100.0
	1131	98.8	100.0	
Missing	14	1.2		
Total	1145	100.0		

## PATHWAYS

12. At the time of new development the Town sometimes requires the dedication and construction of pathways along interior property boundaries when needed to link existing unconnected pathway segments. Please check the statement that best represents your view of this issue:

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
a)	548	47.9	49.5	49.5
b)	154	13.4	13.9	63.4
c)	405	35.4	36.6	100.0
	1107	96.7	100.0	
Missing	38	3.3		
Total	1145	100.0		

## FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS

13. **Facilities:** Within the resources available to the Town, how important is it for the Town to provide the following new or additional facilities?

a) Day care center or facility for children.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	759	66.3	68.0	68.0
Somewhat Important	152	13.3	13.6	81.6
Important	102	8.9	9.1	90.8
Very Important	46	4.0	4.1	94.9
No Opinion	57	5.0	5.1	100.0
	1116	97.5	100.0	
Missing	29	2.5		
Total	1145	100.0		

b) Day care center or facility for seniors.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	643	56.2	57.8	57.8
Somewhat Important	222	19.4	19.9	77.7
Important	136	11.9	12.2	89.9
Very Important	60	5.2	5.4	95.3
No Opinion	52	4.5	4.7	100.0
	1113	97.2	100.0	
Missing	32	2.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

c) Multi-purpose center for recreational programs and meetings.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	419	36.6	37.8	37.8
Somewhat Important	331	28.9	29.9	67.7
Important	216	18.9	19.5	87.2
Very Important	103	9.0	9.3	96.5
No Opinion	39	3.4	3.5	100.0
	1108	96.8	100.0	
Missing	37	3.2		
Total	1145	100.0		

d) Additional open space preserves.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	211	18.4	18.9	18.9
Somewhat Important	228	19.9	20.4	39.2
Important	296	25.9	26.5	65.7
Very Important	362	31.6	32.4	98.0
No Opinion	22	1.9	2.0	100.0
	1119	97.7	100.0	
Missing	26	2.3		
Total	1145	100.0		

e) Additional public parks.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	346	30.2	31.1	31.1
Somewhat Important	277	24.2	24.9	55.9
Important	245	21.4	22.0	77.9
Very Important	208	18.2	18.7	96.6
No Opinion	38	3.3	3.4	100.0
	1114	97.3	100.0	
Missing	31	2.7		
Total	1145	100.0		

f) New picnic areas in public parks.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	406	35.5	36.5	36.5
Somewhat Important	328	28.6	29.5	65.9
Important	202	17.6	18.1	84.1
Very Important	125	10.9	11.2	95.3
No Opinion	52	4.5	4.7	100.0
	1113	97.2	100.0	
Missing	32	2.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

g) New playfields in public parks.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	419	36.6	37.7	37.7
Somewhat Important	326	28.5	29.3	67.1
Important	192	16.8	17.3	84.3
Very Important	121	10.6	10.9	95.2
No Opinion	53	4.6	4.8	100.0
	1111	97.0	100.0	
Missing	34	3.0		
Total	1145	100.0		

h) Street lighting.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	739	64.5	65.9	65.9
Somewhat Important	198	17.3	17.7	83.6
Important	97	8.5	8.7	92.2
Very Important	66	5.8	5.9	98.1
No Opinion	21	1.8	1.9	100.0
	1121	97.9	100.0	
Missing	24	2.1		
Total	1145	100.0		

Other (please describe):

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	1000	87.3	87.3	87.3
Also Question 13. Other: At present we do not have a feeling of community because of a lack of all of the above. Not at all child friendly. Question #11. Some regulation is good but there needs to be room to accommodate individual taste and opinions.	1	.1	.1	87.4
#10-b this is a poorly or deceptively phrased statement) Shame on you.	1	.1	.1	87.5
#10-c. Bad questions	1	.1	.1	87.6
9B. The town should have the power to remove trees at any time that are not in compliance with the landscaping plan as approved. The town should also offer to pay to remove existing pine and eucalyptus trees from private property as they block views.	1	.1	.1	88.4
A place where people can park for parties at holiday time.	1	.1	.1	88.5
A soccer field	1	.1	.1	88.6
A town this size & with this per capita income does not need to get	1	.1	.1	88.6

involved in many of these things. Instead get involved in doing more for those less fortunate in surrounding areas and let them enjoy all that "money can buy.				
Adding streetlight at intersections is ok.	1	.1	.1	88.7
All deeded easements must be recognized by law. These may not be arbitrarily dismissed or ignored	1	.1	.1	88.8
Although it was 20 yr. Ago it was difficult to remodel because of delays & unavailable at City Hall.	1	.1	.1	89.0
Area for dogs in preserves & parks.	1	.1	.1	89.1
Better phone and internet service - better TV cable.	1	.1	.1	89.2
Better to maintain what we have now.	1	.1	.1	89.3
Children's play area with equipment in the public park	1	.1	.1	89.3
Comment on j: under grounding of utility lines would make road surfaces worse than they already are. Moody Rd. is a prime example of the mess that it can make. Also power lines are excellent for birds to perch on.	1	.1	.1	89.4
Community litter pick up. Walks & nature walks.	1	.1	.1	89.5
Compost & mulch & planters soil for residents to support home gardening.	1	.1	.1	89.6
Connect additional properties to the sanitary sewage system.	1	.1	.1	89.7
Daycare.... Only if at no expense (i.e., Self-supporting) to L.A.H.	1	.1	.1	89.8
Dog areas- Walking	1	.1	.1	89.9
Encourage expansion of sewer system. Need to get additional capacity approval from the city of Los Altos.	1	.1	.1	90.0
Existing facilities should be used: Bullis School, Westwind Barn etc.	1	.1	.1	90.0
Fenced playground @ Purissima fields-traffic hazard for small children.	1	.1	.1	90.1
Fire & Police facilities	1	.1	.1	90.2
Fix Tepa Way., demand wires out of old trees & insulate wires	1	.1	.1	90.3
FIX THE STREETS!!!!!!!!!!!!	1	.1	.1	90.4
h- street lighting at corners so street signs are visible	1	.1	.1	90.5
Have an arborist look at the trees along Page Mill Rd. With eroded roots & correct 1	1	.1	.1	90.6
How can you consider parks and preserves when the MPOP is right next door?	1	.1	.1	90.7
I feel very strongly that there needs to be architectural standards so that new houses fin in with the rural nature of the community and don't stand out as many do as eyesores and inappropriate.	1	.1	.1	90.7
I like the rural nature of the town, maybe a park that is rural in nature arguments this.	1	.1	.1	90.8
I THINK Fremont hills should be allowed some lighted courts, there is no problem for the 1 or 2 neighboring houses.	1	.1	.1	90.9
I would prefer to collaborate with Los Altos on these issues	1	.1	.1	91.0
If LAH had a large open space preserve comparable to Palo Altos foothill park, I would feel less strongly about developing off road pathways.	1	.1	.1	91.1
Improve and maintain pathways	1	.1	.1	91.2
In my opinion this survey is a very excellent idea. Anyone who has a disagreement with town policy has an opportunity to voice an opinion! The majority opinion is what is important. This is a very progressive move and very timely.	1	.1	.1	91.3
Incorporate private roads if desired by residents.	1	.1	.1	91.4
Internet access, cable, DSL, etc.	1	.1	.1	91.4
It's important to blend as per the original town restrictions.	1	.1	.1	91.5
It would be nice to have a community center that could provide education about local activity history, as well as meeting places or activity center. If nicely designed it could be used for receptions for residents and their guests fees would help to ma	1	.1	.1	91.6
Items A&B are currently provided by neighboring communities, therefore we don't believe it necessary for the town to provide these facilities.	1	.1	.1	91.7
Lack of lighting presents a danger to residents, drivers, this is a serious consideration.	1	.1	.1	91.8
LAH is not nor should be a full-service city. If council chambers on a side room in Town Hall are available for occasional meetings, fine. But a new facility is wasteful of town funds.	1	.1	.1	91.9
Leave things as they are! That is why we live here!	1	.1	.1	92.0
Lights at street signs and corners.	1	.1	.1	92.1
Link with Los Altos for day care - children and adults	1	.1	.1	92.1
Little league area seems under fertilized or to specialized for small audience	1	.1	.1	92.2
Maintain good roadway pavement	1	.1	.1	92.3
Maintain sidewalks on major roads. Some are completely inadequate.	1	.1	.1	92.4
Maintenance of private streets including lighting in private streets.	1	.1	.1	92.5
Make sure each resident knows what part of the roadway he is responsible for keeping clear of trash.	1	.1	.1	92.6
Many of above items sound good, but are available in nearby neighboring communities; they are redundant & would require new taxes to fund.	1	.1	.1	92.7
More cooperation with MROSD.	1	.1	.1	92.8

More open space and portions for dog on leash cleaning up when necessary.	1	.1	.1	92.8
Most of these things are available in Los Altos. We should cooperate w/the city (financially)& have equal access to the rec. dept.	1	.1	.1	92.9
most people don't realize the high cost to the town of lighting 280 intersections	1	.1	.1	93.0
Need street signs replaced & roads repaved!!!	1	.1	.1	93.1
Neighborhoods watch programs more of them.	1	.1	.1	93.2
None of the above fit an agricultural rural community!	1	.1	.1	93.3
not too much	1	.1	.1	93.4
NOTE: Question 10c. This is a badly worded question. My answer is YES outdoor lighting should be regulated.	1	.1	.1	93.4
Observance of speed limits	1	.1	.1	93.5
Outdoor lighting s/b regulated -The question is worded wrong.	1	.1	.1	93.6
Outdoor lighting should be sufficient for people to see while walking to the front door and back door. For question #12 it is loaded unfairly what is "required"- What neighborhood is very subjective?	1	.1	.1	93.7
Parks should be kept "countryside" to blend into rural feel of our town.	1	.1	.1	93.8
Pathways along major roads should be provided. There are stretches of Moody Road where one must walk on the road.	1	.1	.1	93.9
Plan a dog park where we can run our dogs and clean up after them.	1	.1	.1	94.0
Playgrounds-very important. Need 2. One north of El Monte, one South.	1	.1	.1	94.1
Plenty of these type facilities exist in adjacent towns	1	.1	.1	94.1
Present no of people in LAH? Future no of people in LAH estimate 2000, 2010, 2020, 2050, 3000. Should LAH save water, creek run off etc? For future use or pumping for landscaping? Can we create a lake?	1	.1	.1	94.2
Preservation of Westwind, Byrne preserve and remaining agricultural areas.	1	.1	.1	94.3
Provide sanitary sewers	1	.1	.1	94.4
Public programs- native plantings etc, pathways, town history, etc.	1	.1	.1	94.5
Put a public park along Mary Stutz path near the old quarry.	1	.1	.1	94.6
Question #12. C. The pathway from Manuella to City Hall on Fremont is badly in need of repair. Rains and run-off have damaged it severely.	1	.1	.1	94.7
Question #12. If pathway exist & all maintained, they should run at property. If they do not exist they should not be added. It appears the town is unable to maintain some existing pathways. Question #13c. Available in LA #13d most areas not used. 13.e	1	.1	.1	94.8
Question #7 D. other: Expedite reviews and use common sense.	1	.1	.1	94.8
Question #8 a. If oak trees are present. Question #10.a. Residents should use discretion within suggested guidelines.	1	.1	.1	94.9
Question #8. Who is the super smart person tasked with making the conservation easement and who determines that person or persons is qualified.	1	.1	.1	95.0
Regarding Pathways #12. We could support option C, which requires off road pathways if only the town codes regarding fences weren't so restrictive. Solid fences greater than 6 ft high should be allowed without a variance to address the homeowners legitimate.	1	.1	.1	95.1
Reports of flooding in flat areas suggest an inadequate drainage system.	1	.1	.1	95.2
road improvements	1	.1	.1	95.3
Safe paths to schools bike lanes.	1	.1	.1	95.4
Sewer System	1	.1	.1	95.5
Sewers and adequate water.	1	.1	.1	95.5
should have street sweepers once a quarter	1	.1	.1	95.6
Sidewalk, the width of walkways, in the main streets are safer & cleaner, often the walkways are muddy and full of holes & weeds.	1	.1	.1	95.7
Sidewalks along all paved roads. Very important.	1	.1	.1	95.8
Sidewalks & underground telephone wire is more important. Than all the above	1	.1	.1	95.9
Sidewalks at a min on all main arteries	1	.1	.1	96.0
Since the comm. Voted for & put this plan in place years ago, it should be brought to fruition. I presume that buyers are fully informed of this when considering property purchase.	1	.1	.1	96.1
Some of the public facilities don't seem to be widely used so the town could be wasting money on new/additional areas.	1	.1	.1	96.2
Speed bumps to control speeding on Fremont between Edith and Bullis School	1	.1	.1	96.2
Spend funds to improve and maintain pathways	1	.1	.1	96.3
Storm drains where flooding occurs I.e. Robleda/Fremont	1	.1	.1	96.4
Street construction which facilitates non-motorized commuting.	1	.1	.1	96.5
Street lighting at crucial junctures may be desirable.	1	.1	.1	96.6
Street lighting especially hazardous locations.	1	.1	.1	96.7
Street lighting is important where pedestrian crosswalks exist	1	.1	.1	96.8
Street lighting only at dangerous intersections	1	.1	.1	96.9
Street lighting should not be implemented!	1	.1	.1	96.9

Streetlights on major intersections are helpful.	1	.1	.1	97.0
Suggest planned summer programs in farm setting in open space properties.	1	.1	.1	97.1
Suggest striking deal with City of Los Altos to gain access to their facilities & amenities.	1	.1	.1	97.2
Summer swimming program & summer camp for kids K-8	1	.1	.1	97.3
Swimming facilities, indoor basketball court	1	.1	.1	97.4
The art exhibits at town hall are excellent and should be kept.	1	.1	.1	97.5
The blight of Bullis School should be required to meet town requirements of other structures.	1	.1	.1	97.6
The pathway system is our town's best feature & main recreation feature.	1	.1	.1	97.6
The town is not responsible to baby-sit kids. It's the parents responsibility	1	.1	.1	97.7
The town should not provide day care centers. Day care is the responsibility of individuals.	1	.1	.1	97.8
There used to be through trails for mtn bikes, horses and pedestrians that connect to San Antonio O.S.P. and mid Pen O. S.P. lands. Otherwise, you are too restrictive for parking at trailheads where loop back hikes become the norm. Kids should be able	1	.1	.1	97.9
These facilities should be part of Bullis School renovation.	1	.1	.1	98.0
This may help the reduction of private courts being built. Public tennis Center.	1	.1	.1	98.1
This town has much open space and large properties therefore public parks are not so important	1	.1	.1	98.2
LAH founders had a great vision-this is a valuable attribute for LAH- Don't mess with it.	1	.1	.1	98.3
Toilet facilities and water in parks	1	.1	.1	98.3
Too bad Adobe Creek Lodge wasn't kept!	1	.1	.1	98.4
Town should consider reimbursing residents for land taken, possible in the form of a credit usable toward permit fees. \$40 PSF would be reasonable today.	1	.1	.1	98.5
Try to make an agreement with Palo Alto to allow LAH to use Foothill park.	1	.1	.1	98.6
Under ground utilities.	1	.1	.1	98.7
underground utilities	1	.1	.1	98.8
Underground utilities!!!!	1	.1	.1	98.9
Underground utility lines on Moody Road	1	.1	.1	99.0
Up to code - new Town Hall.	1	.1	.1	99.0
Upgrade appearance- lights, streets, landscape, and boulders.	1	.1	.1	99.1
Use playback on request' streaming video across web & videotapes.	1	.1	.1	99.2
utilize facilities in adjoining towns whenever possible	1	.1	.1	99.3
Vibrant downtown - it is dead and boring	1	.1	.1	99.4
We have good nearby ones in LAH and Mountain View	1	.1	.1	99.5
We need a new town hall.	1	.1	.1	99.6
We need dog parks, please.	1	.1	.1	99.7
We particularly resent new comers, coming into the area and trying to change or vary building and land restriction. Possible provides or otherwise make available to new and/or prospective purchasers existing restrictions and land use.	1	.1	.1	99.7
What do you mean playfields? A great room adjacent to town hall that opens up for outdoor and indoor usage- multi purpose	1	.1	.1	99.8
Where there are no roadside pathways (on Magdalena avenue, for example nor sidewalks, WHERE are people/residents expected to walk especially for those of us with young children and infants?	1	.1	.1	99.9
Would appreciate improvement to existing parks.	1	.1	.1	100.0
Total	1145	100.0	100.0	

14. **Programs:** Within the financial resources available to the Town, how important is it for the Town to sponsor the following new or additional programs?

a) Develop a program to encourage native or water conserving landscaping.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	191	16.7	17.2	17.2
Somewhat Important	273	23.8	24.6	41.7
Important	318	27.8	28.6	70.3
Very Important	311	27.2	28.0	98.3
No Opinion	19	1.7	1.7	100.0
	1112	97.1	100.0	
Missing	33	2.9		
Total	1145	100.0		

b) Develop a program to Improve pathway maintenance.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	207	18.1	18.5	18.5
Somewhat Important	316	27.6	28.3	46.8
Important	347	30.3	31.0	77.8
Very Important	241	21.0	21.6	99.4
No Opinion	7	.6	.6	100.0
	1118	97.6	100.0	
Missing	27	2.4		
Total	1145	100.0		

c) Develop a program to identify and protect wildlife.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	269	23.5	24.3	24.3
Somewhat Important	340	29.7	30.7	55.0
Important	254	22.2	22.9	78.0
Very Important	223	19.5	20.1	98.1
No Opinion	21	1.8	1.9	100.0
	1107	96.7	100.0	
Missing	38	3.3		
Total	1145	100.0		

d) Sponsor community events for all residents.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	495	43.2	44.2	44.2
Somewhat Important	378	33.0	33.7	77.9
Important	150	13.1	13.4	91.3
Very Important	71	6.2	6.3	97.6
No Opinion	27	2.4	2.4	100.0
	1121	97.9	100.0	
Missing	24	2.1		
Total	1145	100.0		

e) Sponsor recreational programs for children.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	440	38.4	39.1	39.1
Somewhat Important	309	27.0	27.5	66.6
Important	235	20.5	20.9	87.5
Very Important	105	9.2	9.3	96.8
No Opinion	36	3.1	3.2	100.0
	1125	98.3	100.0	
Missing	20	1.7		
Total	1145	100.0		

f) Sponsor recreational programs for teenagers.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	462	40.3	41.2	41.2
Somewhat Important	287	25.1	25.6	66.8
Important	232	20.3	20.7	87.5
Very Important	98	8.6	8.7	96.3
No Opinion	42	3.7	3.7	100.0
	1121	97.9	100.0	
Missing	24	2.1		
Total	1145	100.0		

g) Sponsor recreational programs for seniors.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	519	45.3	46.6	46.6
Somewhat Important	310	27.1	27.8	74.4
Important	175	15.3	15.7	90.1
Very Important	69	6.0	6.2	96.3
No Opinion	41	3.6	3.7	100.0
	1114	97.3	100.0	
Missing	31	2.7		
Total	1145	100.0		

h) Sponsor televised City Council Hearings.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	454	39.7	41.1	41.1
Somewhat Important	287	25.1	26.0	67.1
Important	200	17.5	18.1	85.2
Very Important	116	10.1	10.5	95.7
No Opinion	48	4.2	4.3	100.0
	1105	96.5	100.0	
Missing	40	3.5		
Total	1145	100.0		

i) Sponsor video coverage of Council Hearings on the Internet.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	413	36.1	37.4	37.4
Somewhat Important	307	26.8	27.8	65.2
Important	194	16.9	17.6	82.8
Very Important	120	10.5	10.9	93.7
No Opinion	70	6.1	6.3	100.0
	1104	96.4	100.0	
Missing	41	3.6		
Total	1145	100.0		

j) Underground public utility service lines.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	110	9.6	9.7	9.7
Somewhat Important	180	15.7	15.9	25.7
Important	300	26.2	26.5	52.2
Very Important	522	45.6	46.2	98.4
No Opinion	18	1.6	1.6	100.0
	1130	98.7	100.0	
Missing	15	1.3		
Total	1145	100.0		

k) Sponsor a Town-wide stormwater drainage master plan.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not Important	86	7.5	7.6	7.6
Somewhat Important	258	22.5	22.9	30.5
Important	356	31.1	31.6	62.1
Very Important	346	30.2	30.7	92.8
No Opinion	81	7.1	7.2	100.0
	1127	98.4	100.0	
Missing	18	1.6		
Total	1145	100.0		

Other (please describe):

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	970	84.7	84.7	84.7
Town needs to get decent cable/ internet access none now available thru Sun County.	1	.1	.1	84.8
Priority - underground public utility lines.	1	.1	.1	84.9
14.a. Comment: let nature do it.	1	.1	.1	85.3
A deer control program will be needed in the near future. They are multiplying rapidly.	1	.1	.1	85.5
A movie theater in downtown Los Altos will be very nice.	1	.1	.1	85.6
A thru G are very available thru both Los Altos & Palo Alto & supported by various groups.	1	.1	.1	85.7
Agree on Little League Fields - very important	1	.1	.1	85.8
Also important is the DSL in the hills.	1	.1	.1	85.9
Also sanitary sewer line system.	1	.1	.1	85.9
As I watched the cutting down of mature fine trees on the property backing to altamont my heart broke. I think the property owners should be fined. Did they ask the cities permission? Now they are planting grape vines doesn't seem like a meaningful.	1	.1	.1	86.0
Believe town should allow utility business to open office or service yard within town limits.	1	.1	.1	86.1
Better to keep alliance with Los Altos and coordinate joint activities, perhaps to work harder to allow them (LA) to use facilities here in the Hills. It makes sense to work together, not further separate us. Having a Rec. coordinator is a good idea too.	1	.1	.1	86.2
Broad band data infrastructure	1	.1	.1	86.3
Broadband Internet and a REAL cable system.	1	.1	.1	86.4

Build a town wide internet and video distribution facility. This is more important than simply undergrounding existing utilities. Black tail deer are too numerous. Fencing is forcing them onto non fenced properties, increasing desire for more fencing.	1	.1	.1	86.5
Can't believe what was allowed to be built on Wildflower Drive. A beautiful hill was replaced by something that looks like the Taj Mahal.	1	.1	.1	86.6
Certain past objectives remain paramount 1. To minimize intrusions on neighbors. 2. To maximize privacy. 3. To preserve the rural feeling which has done so much to make LAH attractive.	1	.1	.1	86.6
classes on how to get along with neighbors	1	.1	.1	86.7
Clean up the horse droppings for walkers, joggers, bikers & those of us who want to enjoy the paths also! Having the paths is great, but one group of users should not be allowed to abuse their use for others. Those who ride horses should help clean the pathways.	1	.1	.1	86.8
Complete unfinished storm water drainage projects.	1	.1	.1	86.9
Continue are exhibits at Town Hall. Sponsor music events at Town Hall.	1	.1	.1	87.0
Current MFA and MDA levels for sloped lots are excessively restrictive and should be eased.	1	.1	.1	87.1
D, Very informal, low budget events	1	.1	.1	87.2
Develop a program to replace pathways with along the road sidewalks.	1	.1	.1	87.2
Develop a program (like Palo Altos) to encourage energy efficient home features, through financial rebates. So part of improvements such as extending sewer lines, undergrounding utilities, the town should also extend natural gas lines to unserved areas.	1	.1	.1	87.3
Develop a workable plan, build it, maintain it, off road paths when necessary for school access safety etc.	1	.1	.1	87.4
Develop an aggressive program for the leash law! Pooper-scoopers also!!!!	1	.1	.1	87.5
Develop and improve roadside pathways	1	.1	.1	87.6
develop disaster preparedness master plan.	1	.1	.1	87.7
Develop low cost housing Via Mother in Law suites	1	.1	.1	87.8
Develop some plan to restrict the proliferation of deer-Angel Island adopted a program since the deer were destroying their vegetation. There needs to be some control of the deer population.	1	.1	.1	87.9
Developers should not be allowed to channel drainage onto neighbor's property. The town is lax on enforcing the codes here.	1	.1	.1	87.9
Do favor developing recreational facilities & playgrounds for children.	1	.1	.1	88.0
Do nothing that will increase traffic! People often walk or jog on roads and don't use pathways!	1	.1	.1	88.1
Emergency preparedness, Program to educate residents more fire-safe landscaping, town-wide 2nd Harvest participation.	1	.1	.1	88.2
Enforce speed limits, including use of more stop signs and speed bumps (e.g. Manuella Rd.)	1	.1	.1	88.3
Establish & maintain an effective neighborhood emergency response & assistance program.	1	.1	.1	88.4
Establish a historical preservation committee.	1	.1	.1	88.5
Establish a Sewer district.	1	.1	.1	88.6
Families in this area should be able to pay for their own recreational activities.	1	.1	.1	88.6
Fast Internet access very important	1	.1	.1	88.7
Find ways to kill deer heard. They are hungry and dangerous. We had a very bad accident on Altamont.	1	.1	.1	88.8
Fine to identify not much good if people fence property 14-c	1	.1	.1	88.9
Fire & Police programs	1	.1	.1	89.0
Fix the roads(some are too narrow also)	1	.1	.1	89.1
FIX THE STREETS!!!!!!!	1	.1	.1	89.2
Get high speed internet access avail to all. A, b, c, should never be done as they are excused for more interference of property rights.	1	.1	.1	89.3
Get rid of fire hazard growth and trees	1	.1	.1	89.3
get sidewalks & curbing too	1	.1	.1	89.4
Good Survey	1	.1	.1	89.5
High speed internet service should be required of AT&T or via another provider soon.	1	.1	.1	89.6
High speed Internet access & enough phone lines.	1	.1	.1	89.7
High speeds Internet connection to all homes.	1	.1	.1	89.8
How about an Electric shuttle bus system to reduce car usage into town.	1	.1	.1	89.9
How about sewer construction throughout the hills. It is ridiculous for residents to have to pay for these most basic of services.	1	.1	.1	90.0
How do you plan to keep Idiots from stuffing the ballot box? This survey is just about meaningless. Suggest you resend, using re producible forms or do an official tally	1	.1	.1	90.0
I'd like to see the city take a more aggressive posture regarding the maintenance of properties and landscaping by homeowners. Several of our neighbor's yards are public eye sores and detract from the ambiance.	1	.1	.1	90.1

Junked cars, tractors, gardening clutter, I				
I am concerned that if we loosen standards too much, ten years from now we will not like what we have, but there will be no way back. Let people do what they want, but we need oversight to keep rural town look.	1	.1	.1	90.2
I feel our roads are not maintained properly. This should come first. Why embarrass our town to the rest of the area.	1	.1	.1	90.3
I have lost my views of the hills due to large home construction never had an opportunity to comment or protest!! This is like seizure by eminent domain without hearing or compensation!	1	.1	.1	90.4
If certain residential areas want utilities they should pay for the improvement. Not the town. Sewers for every home in L.A.H. This will cost 100,000 + per acre time to face this problem also	1	.1	.1	90.5
If we can rid ourselves of the world class arrogant attitude displayed by king Steve and Queen Toni and their Kong coalition we could return our town to some semi glance of order!	1	.1	.1	90.6
Improve and repair lighting around city hall	1	.1	.1	90.7
Improved road surface + litter removal program.	1	.1	.1	90.7
Instead of pathways, there should be more room left on each side of the street so you can walk on the edge of the road. Without getting hit by a truck's rearview mirror. There should be no barricades on the parking areas of the streets.	1	.1	.1	90.8
Is a much better technical approach than I.	1	.1	.1	90.9
Is their enough electricity for future? Should we have a generating plan? What site if we need it.	1	.1	.1	91.0
It's not necessary to landscape the whole lot.	1	.1	.1	91.1
It would be nice if our current regulations were enforced uniformly across the board without subjective interpretation. I would strengthen two areas of home approval 1. Require more neutral roof materials. Roofing is probably the single largest materials.	1	.1	.1	91.2
j) for new building development	1	.1	.1	91.3
j) Should include sewer lines as 1st priority.	1	.1	.1	91.4
J) Very important I would like to add a "guest" house for our grown son (medical doctor) as affordable housing.	1	.1	.1	91.4
K-Does the town engineers feels this is needed? I walk around LAH a lot and have rarely seen storm drainage problems. There is a master plan done by the county on drainage about 25 year's ago-maybe check on this.	1	.1	.1	91.5
K) What's wrong with existing open creek drainage?	1	.1	.1	91.6
Less programs, more action. Recreational events do not dictate quality of life in LA	1	.1	.1	91.7
Lets get the utilities underground. It has been on the land use element of the general plan for 25 years. Do this and you have accomplished something of value for everyone.	1	.1	.1	91.8
Lets some of these parents stay home and care for their children	1	.1	.1	91.9
Los altos Hills is a rural area and should remain so- I feel very strongly about this and so do many of our neighbors-Let neighbors work out lighting & landscaping issues-Town Government should maintain our rural lifestyle.	1	.1	.1	92.0
Maintain residential streets!! They are falling apart!! Where does all the \$12,000 go in property taxes?	1	.1	.1	92.1
Maintenance for roads.	1	.1	.1	92.1
Make sewer connections available to everyone!! Old septic tanks are a major pain for the owner and I'm sure a health hazard for the surrounding properties.	1	.1	.1	92.2
MINIMUM GOVERNMENT	1	.1	.1	92.3
Most important underground utilities.	1	.1	.1	92.4
Most residents can easily afford childcare, however teens have no where to go in our community for sober re creation or just a place to meet with friends and relax. Also our seniors go to LAH senior center, but we can't necessarily rely on that always being there.	1	.1	.1	92.5
My children went to council meeting as required by Gunn High School. This set back their belief in democracy by five to ten years.	1	.1	.1	92.6
New town Hall	1	.1	.1	92.7
Noise and Traffic - construction needs regulating, commute speed and degree. Expansion of Foothill College - increased traffic, noise, pollution, and crime. Safety - dangers to children riding bikes and walking to school and friends - increased traffic and r	1	.1	.1	92.8
Opaque fencing should be allowed on property lines facing busier streets & roads.	1	.1	.1	92.8
Or participate with Los Altos	1	.1	.1	92.9
Overhead wires should be buried.	1	.1	.1	93.0
Paint the tanks in green, brown camouflage to "make them disappear"	1	.1	.1	93.1
Pathways along streets and along property lines should be preserved and maintained. New developments should include pathway easements to connect neighborhoods.	1	.1	.1	93.2

Pathways are constructed for horses - Walkers and cyclists use roadways and make driving extremely dangerous, pathways wash out in storms.	1	.1	.1	93.3
Perhaps the town should do a sensible review of its plan now. An inlet on Elena at the corner of Robleda is blocked by a curb directing all water to a very low spot where it floods. My car hydro-planned there and almost went into a tree	1	.1	.1	93.4
Please do not allow bikers on LAH roads. They are extremely dangerous. Sooner or later we are going to have some fatal accidents on our roads..	1	.1	.1	93.4
Please open up the pathway (off road) connecting Blk. Mountain Rd. with Elena Rd. It is a link between neighborhoods.	1	.1	.1	93.5
Please rethink what you have done.	1	.1	.1	93.6
PLEASE! We have one of the most beautiful communities in the state. Los Altos Hills DESERVES UNDERGROUND UTILITIES!! We also need SAFE connecting walking paths for our residents. Especially the busy streets are dangerous to walk on without a pathway.	1	.1	.1	93.7
Power, phone, and cable lines must be underground. Visual pollution!	1	.1	.1	93.8
Preserving the natural beauty of the hills.	1	.1	.1	93.9
Program to "Clean-Up" junk cars (not running), and trash from existing homes and lots. Put more emphasis on existing vs. only new construction. Program to improve appearance of LAH "entry points"	1	.1	.1	94.0
Program to clean up along roadways(litter illegal signs)and enforce cleanup of visible debris on private property.	1	.1	.1	94.1
Provide an expedited building permit approval process	1	.1	.1	94.1
Provide sanitary sewers - eliminate septic tanks	1	.1	.1	94.2
Re: b -Install asphalt pathways. Re: k- Thought we had a town -wide plan.	1	.1	.1	94.3
Reduce deer population.	1	.1	.1	94.4
Regarding items H&I, we believe all LAH residents should see their local government at work. Especially with the controversy during the past few years.	1	.1	.1	94.5
require all properties on septic to file yearly. Please put down all the frickin-barking dogs.	1	.1	.1	94.6
restrict development within 100 ft of all creeks so that they can flood without damage; and be used for open space.	1	.1	.1	94.7
school pathways should take priority in maintenance scheduling. Walk to school, make our school yards safer and less air pollution for young children is very important (less vehicle emissions)(less traffic)	1	.1	.1	94.8
Schools provide recreational activities - also churches	1	.1	.1	94.8
See above	1	.1	.1	94.9
sewage system town-wide	1	.1	.1	95.0
sewer extension i s desirable	1	.1	.1	95.1
sewer lines for areas now using septic tanks.	1	.1	.1	95.2
Sewer System	1	.1	.1	95.3
Sewers- very important- Road maintenance- very important.	1	.1	.1	95.4
Sewers ! ! !	1	.1	.1	95.5
Sidewalks instead of pathways. Perhaps we could contribute \$ to Los Altos programs so SAH residents can participate in their offerings as residents instead of non-residents.	1	.1	.1	95.5
Since water shortages and risking utility costs are part of our area's future problems, the town should concentrate on finding and advocating the best septic systems, as opposed to sewerage the town. You have no question, but the town should support.	1	.1	.1	95.6
Some developments would be safer if they were gated. The peacefulness of the community is compromised with the above events, for residents, children, seniors and teens. Same is true regarding facilities for children and seniors and parks bring noise.	1	.1	.1	95.7
Sponsor a sewage system. Make it a priority to maintain roads before building/maintaing pathways.	1	.1	.1	95.8
Sponsor text not video coverage of council hearing.	1	.1	.1	95.9
Sponsor a hookup to a sewer system.	1	.1	.1	96.0
Sponsor oak native tree program where residents can donate trees to main roads. We need more trees. J- we would pay toward this. Nothing we can do will make town more rural than under grounding ugly power poles.	1	.1	.1	96.1
sponsor program encouraging non-use of pesticides & fertilizers + organic growing education, Sponsor organic veggie gardens-education etc. Sponsor education re: solar & alternative energy.	1	.1	.1	96.2
sponsor sanitary sewer districts	1	.1	.1	96.2
Sponsor town-wide sewer to replace residential septic tanks.	1	.1	.1	96.3
Sponsor town wide sewer system!	1	.1	.1	96.4
Sponsor volunteer work programs for kids and teens. Get them outdoors, working hard, ranging from bush cleaning, storm drain and creek bed cleanup, pruning trees, maintaining pathways.	1	.1	.1	96.5
Stop changing natural drainage into concrete tubes.	1	.1	.1	96.6
Stormwide drainage plan doesn't help homes that get run off from new	1	.1	.1	96.7

development next door where the town has allowed without permission that grades be changed so run-off comes directly into the neighbors property.				
support local affinity hobbyist groups-photography, gardening, and hiking.	1	.1	.1	96.8
Take over and support "private" streets and their maintenance.	1	.1	.1	96.9
Thank you for the opportunity.	1	.1	.1	96.9
Thank you for this opportunity to be heard. Excellent survey.	1	.1	.1	97.0
The best government is that which governs least.	1	.1	.1	97.1
The guiding principle of the town should be to allow people to do what they like with their property so long as it does not unreasonably impact their neighbors. It is difficult to convey this philosophy by answering these questions.	1	.1	.1	97.2
The less programs the better - less government interference.	1	.1	.1	97.3
The most important improvement to LAH is to implement a sewer system & get rid of septic tank system. This may require a bond that the LAH city council should introduce.	1	.1	.1	97.4
The town lists above some functions that are esoteric, nice to have if funding were available. However the basic services should take precedents. These include police, fire protection, water, sewers and roads continued.	1	.1	.1	97.5
The town should promote the use of the Stream Care Guide published by the Santa Clara Water District as well as Gardening with Wildlife, the official backyard habitat planning and planting kit from the National Wildlife Federation. Any water conservation.	1	.1	.1	97.6
The village has an opportunity now to be part of the Bullis school renovation, scheduled to begin in 2004. A multipurpose facility at Bullis will benefit the entire Community.	1	.1	.1	97.6
There are already plenty of sewers in neighboring towns(Los Altos, Palo Alto) which offers programs which we can utilize	1	.1	.1	97.7
There is an eyesore on Burke Rd. Construction destroyed a lovely small house. Cut down all the trees	1	.1	.1	97.8
There is no reason to double up on programs already in place by Los Altos rec. dept. Instead LAH should support these existing programs.	1	.1	.1	97.9
These are available in general area - larger programs	1	.1	.1	98.0
This is not a community that needs to "provide for those who can't provide for themselves, since there are few of the latter! and even if there are (I include myself in this group-no pool! no tennis court, no courtesy club membership) reciprocal agreements.	1	.1	.1	98.1
Those who want to attend council meetings certainly can- at no additional expense for all the community.	1	.1	.1	98.2
Town-wide probably not needed.	1	.1	.1	98.3
Town government & staff should not use their positions for personal advantage & publicity. "GET A LIFE"	1	.1	.1	98.3
Trim Street trees as needed	1	.1	.1	98.4
Underground public utilities are extremely important!	1	.1	.1	98.5
Underground public utilities should be top priority.	1	.1	.1	98.6
Underground utilities must not be paid by a general tax or bond issue. It should be paid by special assessment districts.	1	.1	.1	98.7
underground utility lines should be on a voluntary basis	1	.1	.1	98.8
UN-incorporated Los Altos is a mess - need a place to integrate the city and county more closely.	1	.1	.1	98.9
Use funds to improve appearance & value of the Hills.	1	.1	.1	99.0
Utility installation on Eschner Court certainly did not comply with principal #1. Telephone pole on Eschner Court & Altamont really does stand out.	1	.1	.1	99.0
We don't have one?	1	.1	.1	99.1
We feel that there are a number of good programs available in the area for seniors and teens.	1	.1	.1	99.2
we have to many deer, skunks, raccoon's etc. already! The building of new large fancy gardens that are fenced, has forced the deer into the unfenced yards	1	.1	.1	99.3
We moved here because we liked it the way it was(Natural) Lets preserve what was here people who buy in should not try to change over town. Let's keep it the way it was. This is not Manhattan, LA or San Francisco.	1	.1	.1	99.4
We need less government & as Will Rogers said" Praise God we don't get as much government as we pay for"!!!!	1	.1	.1	99.5
We need reliable Internet connections. The options described in last newsletter while helpful are in adequate. Please get a strong provider (cable is best, please get a better provider) or provide infrastructure for DSL or ISDN lines or PAC Bell lines.	1	.1	.1	99.6
We need to conserve all of our natural resources. LAH residents are water wasters, planting non-native plants that require excessive amounts of water.	1	.1	.1	99.7
We need underground utilities now!!	1	.1	.1	99.7
We would rather see minutes of each council meeting posted on the	1	.1	.1	99.8

internet immediately following each meeting.				
Where do kids go trick or treating around here? Master plan for sewer to all Los Altos Hills Program solar electricity independence from grid recycle gray water for landscape.	1	.1	.1	99.9
Work with PG&E on making power lines less susceptible to failure during storms. Earthquake preparedness.	1	.1	.1	100.0
Total	1145	100.0	100.0	

15. How many years have you lived in Los Altos?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1	18	1.6	1.6	1.6
2	27	2.4	2.4	4.0
3	40	3.5	3.6	7.6
4	37	3.2	3.3	10.9
5	36	3.1	3.2	14.1
6	40	3.5	3.6	17.7
7	42	3.7	3.8	21.5
8	27	2.4	2.4	23.9
9	16	1.4	1.4	25.3
10	35	3.1	3.1	28.5
11	16	1.4	1.4	29.9
12	38	3.3	3.4	33.3
13	23	2.0	2.1	35.4
14	20	1.7	1.8	37.2
15	37	3.2	3.3	40.5
16	28	2.4	2.5	43.0
17	20	1.7	1.8	44.8
18	24	2.1	2.1	46.9
19	6	.5	.5	47.4
20	48	4.2	4.3	51.7
21	15	1.3	1.3	53.1
22	25	2.2	2.2	55.3
23	23	2.0	2.1	57.4
24	25	2.2	2.2	59.6
25	52	4.5	4.7	64.3
26	26	2.3	2.3	66.6
27	19	1.7	1.7	68.3
28	20	1.7	1.8	70.1
29	13	1.1	1.2	71.3
30	68	5.9	6.1	77.4
31	9	.8	.8	78.2
32	24	2.1	2.1	80.3
33	14	1.2	1.3	81.6
34	12	1.0	1.1	82.6
35	36	3.1	3.2	85.9
36	17	1.5	1.5	87.4
37	13	1.1	1.2	88.5
38	12	1.0	1.1	89.6
39	12	1.0	1.1	90.7
40	32	2.8	2.9	93.6
41	8	.7	.7	94.3
42	8	.7	.7	95.0
43	6	.5	.5	95.5
44	2	.2	.2	95.7
45	11	1.0	1.0	96.7
46	4	.3	.4	97.0
47	2	.2	.2	97.2
48	5	.4	.4	97.7
49	4	.3	.4	98.0
50	9	.8	.8	98.8
51	2	.2	.2	99.0
52	2	.2	.2	99.2
54	2	.2	.2	99.4
55	1	.1	.1	99.5
57	1	.1	.1	99.6
60	2	.2	.2	99.7
70	2	.2	.2	99.9
74	1	.1	.1	100.0
Total	1117	97.6	100.0	
Missing	28	2.4		
	1145	100.0		



16. Is there a second dwelling unit (rental, guest house, or domestic's quarters) on your property?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	170	14.8	15.0	15.0
No	960	83.8	85.0	100.0
	1130	98.7	100.0	
Missing	15	1.3		
Total	1145	100.0		

17. Have you been through the Los Altos Hills site development review process?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	548	47.9	49.3	49.3
No	564	49.3	50.7	100.0
	1112	97.1	100.0	
Missing	33	2.9		
Total	1145	100.0		

18. What is your age?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1) 18-24	1	.1	.1	.1
2) 25-34	3	.3	.3	.4
3) 35-44	149	13.0	13.5	13.9
4) 45-54	279	24.4	25.3	39.2
5) 55-64	327	28.6	29.7	68.9
6) 65-74	221	19.3	20.1	88.9
7) over 75	122	10.7	11.1	100.0
	1102	96.2	100.0	
Missing	43	3.8		
Total	1145	100.0		

19. In what area of the City do you live?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
East of I-280	477	41.7	42.3	42.3
West of I-280	650	56.8	57.7	100.0
	1127	98.4	100.0	
Missing	18	1.6		
Total	1145	100.0		

20. Do you own or rent your home?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Own	1128	98.5	99.3	99.3
Rent	8	.7	.7	100.0
	1136	99.2	100.0	
Missing	9	.8		
Total	1145	100.0		