

Town of Los Altos Hills

City Council Regular Meeting Minutes

February 12, 2009
Council Chambers, 26379 Fremont Road

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Mordo called the City Council Regular Meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at Town Hall.

Present: Mayor Jean Mordo, Mayor Pro Tem Dean Warshawsky (Warshawsky left the meeting at 9:00 and did not participate in discussion of Agenda Items #13, #15, #16), Councilmember Breene Kerr, Councilmember Rich Larsen, and Councilmember Ginger Summit

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager Carl Cahill, City Engineer/Public Works Director Richard Chiu, Finance Director Nick Pegueros, Planning Director Debbie Pedro, Planning Consultant Cynthia Richardson, City Attorney Steve Mattas, City Clerk Karen Jost

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Warshawsky, seconded by Larsen and passed unanimously to limit the length of time for public comments to two minutes.

1. APPOINTMENTS AND PRESENTATIONS

Following interviews of those applicants present, the following appointments were made by Council:

A. Youth Commissioner Appointment (1 vacancy)

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Warshawsky, seconded by Kerr and passed unanimously to appoint Allika Walvekar to the position of Youth Commissioner.

B. Appointment to the Standing History Committee (1 vacancy)

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Kerr, seconded by Summit and passed unanimously to appoint Nobuko Cleary to the Standing History Committee for a term of four years.

C. Appointment to the Standing Pathways Committee (1 vacancy)

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Kerr, seconded by Warshawsky and passed unanimously to appoint Anna Brunzell to the Standing Pathways Committee for a term of four years.

D. Appointment to the Standing Parks and Recreation Committee (1 vacancy)

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Kerr, seconded by Warshawsky and passed unanimously to appoint Steve Johnson to the Standing Parks and Recreation Committee for a term of four years.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Items Removed: #2 (City Clerk), #3 (Kerr), #6 (Mordo)

MOTIONED SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Summit, seconded by Larsen and passed unanimously to approve the remainder of the consent calendar, specifically:

4. Approval of Agreement with Grimes Natural Landscape, Inc. to Replace the Round Pen at Westwind Community Barn – Resolution No. 8 (Staff: E. Christensen)
5. Notification of Fast Track Approval: Lands of Tai; 28080 Story Hill Lane (File #291-ZP-SD-GD) A Request for a Site Development Permit for a 4,960 square foot Two-Story New Residence (maximum height: 25' 5") with a 2,682 square foot Basement and a 600 square foot swimming Pool. CEQA Review: Categorical Exemption per Section 15303(a) & (d) (Staff: N. Horvitz)

Items Removed:

2. Approval of Meeting Minutes: City Council Meeting – January 8, 2009

The City Clerk noted that the draft meeting minutes contained a reference error on the Planning Commission vote that appeared on Page 3, second paragraph, line 6: Commission vote should read “absent Commissioner Clow”.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Warshawsky, seconded by Kerr and passed unanimously to approve the January 8, 2009 City Council Meeting minutes as corrected.

3. Review of Disbursements: December 1, 2008 – December 31, 2008 \$1,006,245.01

Councilmember Kerr questioned if any payments had been made to the Moody Road pavement project contractor. Kerr explained that there appeared to be little progress on the project. Finance Director Pegueros clarified that no payments had been made during the reporting period. Future progress payments would be coordinated with the City Engineer to ensure they were appropriate.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Kerr, seconded by Warshawsky and passed unanimously to accept the “Disbursements December 1-December 31, 2008”

6. Project for Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

(Staff: R. Chiu)

Mayor Mordo requested clarification on the agenda item and questioned if there were any additional streets in Town that would be eligible for the funding.

City Engineer/Public Works Director Richard Chiu reviewed the process for the distribution of funding and the requirements that must be met for a road pavement rehabilitation project to be considered. Chiu shared photos of the sections of the two roads that had been identified for the work to illustrate the condition of the pavement. Roads must be listed on the “functional classification system” to qualify for the zero local match federal grants. Chiu noted that local roads generally do not meet the criteria required to be included in the classification system.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Kerr, seconded by Warshawsky and passed unanimously to approve Resolution No. 9 “Authorizing the Filing of an Application for Federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) Funding and Stating the Assurance to Complete the Project”; and Resolution No. 10 “Authorizing the Public Works Director to Award a Contract with the Lowest Responsible Bidder in an Amount Not-to-Exceed \$453,000 for the Construction of Moody Road and Page Mill Road Pavement Rehabilitation Project”

PRESENTATIONS FROM THE FLOOR

K. D. Daniel, Purissima Road, distributed a packet of information to Council regarding the sewer extension along Purissima Road. Mr. Daniel noted that he had participated in a Sewer Assessment District in 1971 and questioned if he was still obligated to pay the current connection fee. He believed the fees that he had previously paid satisfied this requirement. Daniel requested further investigation of the issue.

Nancy Ginzton, Town representative to the VTA Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Commission, addressed Council. Ginzton reported that pursuant to a request from the VTA Board of Directors for proposals for “sidewalk” projects in unincorporated areas, she had submitted the segment of Moody Road to Hidden Villa. Ginzton noted that the sidewalk projects were currently on hold but that the segment might be considered for repaving.

Zamir Zuraek, Page Mill Road, noted that he was addressing Council on behalf of the three property owners that were adjacent to the subdivision at 11990 Page Mill Road. They included: 11854 Page Mill Road, 27992 Central Drive, and 27980 Central Drive. Zuraek explained that they recognized the right of a property owner to subdivide and had participated in the Tentative Map process. However, the identified neighbors believed that the proposed revisions to the Tentative Map were not in substantial conformance with the approved Tentative Map. Additionally, they were of the opinion that the revisions were not in compliance with the Subdivision Map Act and Town’s Codes. He requested that the Council deny approval of the Subdivision’s Final Map. Zuraek offered that the proposed map changes would result in the loss of easement rights. Zuraek stated that he was concerned with the Town’s administrative process to review the map and suggested that Council direct Town Staff to include the appropriate Town Committees in

the review process as outlined in the Municipal Code with special consideration of the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Planning Director Pedro advised Council that the engineering and planning staff were working with the applicant. The Final Map has not been submitted to the Town for review. Pedro noted that if there was an amendment to the Tentative Map, the map would return to the Planning Commission and City Council for approval. The process included review by the relevant Town Committees.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

7. Mitigated Negative Declaration and Tentative Map for a Two-Lot Subdivision: Lands of Homa Natoma, LLC; APN: 182-20-012. (File #218-08-IS-ND-TM-GD)

Planning Director Debbie Pedro introduced Consultant Planner Cynthia Richardson to present the project staff report. Richardson proceeded with a PowerPoint Presentation that included an aerial vicinity map of the two lot subdivision; site photos; topographical site plans with the slope, acreage and LUF, MDA, MFA calculations for each parcel; site plan with the proposed Open Space Easement on parcel 2 and location of the heritage oaks to be removed; and, the tentative building circles for each parcel. Removed trees would be replaced with new oak trees at a ratio of 2:1. The two parcels would be accessed via a new dedicated road "Palomino Place". Richardson noted that no Pathway easement on the site had been requested; a pathway-in-lieu fee would be requested.

The Planning Commission had reviewed the project at their January 15, 2009 meeting and determined the project to be consistent with the General Plan, Subdivision Ordinance and Zoning Regulations. The Commission had voted 5-0 to recommend approval of the proposed subdivision.

Council Discussion:

Council discussion ensued. Council requested clarification on the Pathway requirement. The project had been reviewed by the Pathways Committee and it had been determined that no off-road pathway easement had been identified on the Master Path Map for the site. Additionally, an alternate connector was available and shown on the Map. Pedro displayed the Master Path Map to assist Council in their deliberation.

City Attorney Steve Mattas advised Council that during consideration of a subdivision, they were required to apply the laws that exist at the time of the review. If the Master Map Plan and policy determination did not reflect a pathway through the area, a pathway easement could not be imposed as an ad hoc condition of approval. Mattas suggested that if the Council were to amend the Master Path Map prior to submittal of a site development application for the subdivision's parcels, there would be a legal basis to require the pathway easement.

OPENED PUBLIC HEARING

Anna Brunzell, Pathways Committee member, noted that she had visited the site and believed that a pathway would be a valuable connector. Brunzell explained that the

Committee might have reviewed the application differently if they had known that Palomino Court was to be a dedicated road.

Nancy Gintzon, resident, concurred with Brunzell's assessment of a potential path on the site.

CLOSED PUBLIC HEARING

Council queried applicant James Parivash if he was amenable to voluntarily dedicate a pathway easement connector on the subdivision. He advised that as the representative of the owners, he did not have the authority to make the commitment.

Council requested clarification on any potential subdivisions plans proposed for the five (5) acre parcel. Mr. Parivash responded that the parcels would be sold as estate properties and there were no plans for additional development. City Attorney Mattas noted that the legal right to subdivide the property was still viable, even though the applicant has expressed his position to not subdivide in the future.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Larsen, seconded by Warshawsky and passed by the following voice vote to adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring Program and approve the proposed Tentative Map subject to the findings and conditions of approval in the staff report as Attachment 1 and 2.

AYES: Mayor Mordo, Mayor Pro Tem Warshawsky, Councilmember Larsen, and Councilmember Summit
NOES: Councilmember Kerr
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

8. Amendment to the Site Development Ordinance to Adopt a Ministerial Review Process for Secondary Dwelling Units (Section 10-2.301) in Accordance with Government Code Section 65852.2 (Staff: D. Pedro)

Planning Director Debbie Pedro introduced the item to Council. Pedro provided an overview of the proposed amendment to the Town's Municipal Code which would update the Town's review process for secondary dwelling units to comply with State Law. Government Code Section 65852.2 requires local governments with a second unit ordinance to ministerially consider second unity applications. Pedro noted that since the State Law took effect in July, 2003, the Town had been processing second unit applications ministerially. However, the Site Development Code had not been updated to reflect this procedural change.

Pedro reviewed the two amended sections of the Code with Council. The Planning Commission had reviewed the proposed amendments and voted unanimously to recommend their approval to Council. No change was proposed for the Town's Second Unit Ordinance which established the development standards for second units.

OPENED PUBLIC HEARING

No public testimony.

CLOSED PUBLIC HEARING

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Kerr, seconded by Warshawsky and passed unanimously to Waive Reading and Introduce Ordinance 514.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

9. Update on Town Goals

- Emergency Preparedness

Mayor Mordo announced that Public Safety Officer Steve Garcia was preparing a scripted emergency exercise scheduled for April 26th. The drill would be a simulation of a major earthquake.

Councilmember Larsen reported that he had attended the Santa Clara County Emergency Preparedness Council meeting. Discussions had focused on airport disaster readiness.

- Environmental Initiatives

Discussed as Agenda Item #15. No additional report.

- Parks and Recreation Assets and Programs

No report.

- Sewers and Infrastructure

Discussed as Agenda Item #12. No additional report.

NEW BUSINESS

10. Consideration of a Resolution of the City Council of the Town of Los Altos Hills Authorizing Issuance of Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds and Directing Related Actions (W. Loyola Sewer Assessment District No.1) – (Staff: R. Chiu)

Councilmember Rich Larsen recused himself from consideration of this item and stepped down from the dais.

City Engineer/Public Works Director Richard Chiu introduced the item to Council. The resolution before Council would approve the documents that provided for the issuance of improvement bonds to finance the project. The financing documents had been prepared by the Bond Counsel and were included in the staff report before Council. Chiu noted that the documents had been reviewed by staff and the City Attorney and found to be in proper order. Also included in the report was an explanatory letter from the Bond Counsel regarding the Preliminary Official Statement.

It was noted that the resolution before Council approved the documents in form and authorized the issuance of the bonds with a maximum annual interest rate of 6.8%. Given the current bond market conditions, the sale of the bonds was not expected to move forward at this time.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Warshawsky, seconded by Kerr and passed unanimously to adopt Resolution No. 11 “Authorizing Issuance of Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds and directing Related Actions-West Loyola Sewer Assessment District No. 1”. (Councilmember Rich Larsen recused himself from discussion/vote of this item and had stepped down from the dais).

11. Consideration of Meeting Calendar for Adoption of Proposed Operating and Capital Improvement Budgets Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (Staff: N. Pegueros)

Finance Director Nick Pegueros introduced the item to Council. Council reviewed the proposed calendar. There was a consensus of the City Council to schedule the joint study session with the Finance and Investment Committee for the purpose of reviewing the Town budget for fiscal year 2009-2010 on May 28, 2009 at 5:30 p.m.

12 Consideration of Request by Applicant Behrooz Mohazzabi for an Amendment to the Adopted Town of Los Altos Hills Policy, Procedures and Guidelines for Sanitary Sewer Reimbursement Agreements (Staff: R. Chiu)

City Engineer/ Public Works Director Richard Chiu introduced the item to Council. He provided an overview of the proposal being tendered by Mr. Behrooz Mohazzabi to amend the Town’s sewer reimbursement policy. Mohazzabi had constructed a sewer main on Burke Road and a standard reimbursement agreement had been prepared for the project by staff. At this time, Mohazzabi was requesting that the Town change the method of reimbursement to require the second connector to the line to be responsible for a reimbursement of 50% of the cost of the sewer; the third connector would be required to make a reimbursement of 33% which would be split equally between the prior two connectors and so on. The Town’s current policy distributes the final project costs equally among future users. Chiu noted that reimbursement agreements allow the initial investors to recover some of their construction and associated costs from future users.

Mr. Mohazzabi addressed Council. He thanked them for the opportunity to present his proposal. He offered that the new guidelines would provide a greater opportunity for the “pioneers” that build out sewer lines to recover their costs. Mohazzabi suggested that the current methodology of the reimbursement agreements made it too costly and too risky for the “pioneers” who initiated the project forcing them to they carry the burden of sewer extensions given the ability of residents to choose not to connect to the extended line or to connect at a later date at a lower cost. He offered that his proposal was a much fairer alternative because the costs were shared equally and it would encourage neighbors to work together. Mohazzabi reviewed a case study using his formula for reimbursement.

Council thanked Mr. Mohazzabi.

Council Discussion:

City Attorney Mattas reviewed several legal concerns regarding the proposed amendment to the Town's Policy. He noted that in the circumstances where an applicant was compelled to connect to a sewer line (i.e. a new residence when a sewer line was available within 200 feet of the property) they would be obligated under the proposed policy to pay an amount that was greater than their proportionate share. Under California law, the Town may impose fees on development; however, the fees cannot exceed the reasonable cost of the services provided. If the fees were higher, by definition, they would become a tax. Mattas explained that the imposition of a tax required a different process and potentially voter approval. Additionally, alternative options were available to residents to share costs in the building of a sewer line, including the formation of a sewer assessment district.

OPENED PUBLIC COMMENT

David Jessen, Berkshire Road, spoke to his own experience in building a sewer extension. While under development, eight neighbors were in agreement to build the line, however at the time of construction, seven of the eight neighbors decided not to participate and Jessen was the sole source of the development. He requested Council consideration of extending the term of reimbursement agreements to in-perpetuity or at a minimum, longer than 15 years. Jessen questioned if a different index could be used for annual increases. The construction cost index was the current indicator.

Resident, Burke Road, commented that approximately 6 years ago he had installed a \$50,000 septic system when his new home was constructed. This was prior to installation of the Burke Road sewer line. He had agreed to participate in the sewer line extension "as a good neighbor" gesture even though it was most likely he would not have access it for many years. But when the construction costs escalated two times greater than his original agreement; he was not willing to incur the higher costs. He offered that he would be willing to connect to a sewer line using the Town's reimbursement formula but not at the costs that had been suggested by Mr. Mohazzabi.

Steve Askarinam, Elena Road, suggested that the Town implement a policy that would provide incentives to property owners to join a sewer agreement. He suggested waiving connection fees or construction permit fees.

Harry Price, attorney for the applicant, Los Altos Hills resident, addressed Council. He had submitted a letter to Council and referenced his comments in the correspondence. Price suggested that the current policy discouraged sewer extensions and promoted procrastination rather than progress given that the costs were substantially less for homeowners that joined later. He encouraged the Council to consider amending the policy to assist the "pioneer" organizer to recapture his out of pocket costs.

Nancy Ginzton, resident, spoke to recent discussions of a new proposed sewer line on Natoma Road and the very high costs for the extension.

CLOSED PUBLIC COMMENTS

Council Discussion:

Council reviewed the alternative options as outlined in the staff report. There was no consensus to support the revision to the policy as proffered by Mr. Mohzzabi, citing the costs to the second and third parties to connect were prohibitive. Council considered amending the term of Reimbursement Agreements to 25 years and possible incentives for people to join and/or build sewer extensions. Summit suggested that written agreements that would encourage residents' participation "up front" would be beneficial. She spoke to her own experience where her neighbors were paying an initial cost at the time of construction and payment of remaining costs when the property was actually connected to the sewer line. Warshawsky favored no changes to the current Town Policy.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Larsen, seconded by Kerr and passed unanimously to extend the term of Reimbursement Agreements to 25 years and to adjust the reimbursement cost annually using the construction cost index.

Council directed staff to consult with the originators of Reimbursement Agreements ten years or less (1999-forward) and assess their interest in amending their terms. The agreements would be returned for Council consideration. Additionally, staff was directed to return to Council with options for financial incentives to install sewer lines and encourage early participation in connecting to the extensions.

13. Annual Approval of Investment Policy (Staff: N. Pegueros)

Finance Director Nick Pegueros introduced the item to Council. Due to the rapidly changing investment environment, the policy that was generally forwarded to Council for their consideration annually in October had been reviewed by the FIC at several meetings. Council had before them the proposed changes to the policy. Pegueros clarified that the three updates to the policy formalized existing practices related to investment activity and it was not anticipated that any of the proposed changes would structurally modify PFM's management of the Town's portfolio.

Pegueros reviewed the updates that included:

- 1) The City Council has the sole authority to modify the Town's investment strategy and to select/dismiss an investment advisor;
- 2) Authorization to sell securities prior to maturity for cash flow purposes or to take advantage of market opportunities to earn a higher rate of return;
- 3) Directs the FIC to conduct a quarterly review of the Town's portfolio for calendar year 2009.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Larsen, seconded by Kerr and passed unanimously to adopt the "2009 Investment Policy" as amended.

PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT

Planning Director Debbie Pedro summarized the Planning Commission meeting of February 5, 2009. The Commission had reviewed three project applications: 1) Lands of Los Altos Homes, LLC; a landscape screening plan for a new residence. The project had been unanimously approved with a modification to one Condition of Approval increasing the size of several trees that were to be installed to 12 feet in height to mitigate the view

impact on the neighbors; 2) Lands of Evershine; a landscape screening plan. The application was approved by a 4-1 vote (Collins-no/opposed to the up-lights in the plan); and, 3) Lands of Liu; a request for a site development plan for a new residence and grading exception. The project application had been continued with direction to the applicant to redesign the project in an attempt to save some of the heritage oaks proposed for removal.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES, SUB-COMMITTEES, AND COUNCILMEMBERS ON OUTSIDE AGENCIES

14. 2009 Annual Town Picnic Proposal – Community Relations Committee

Duffy Price, Community Relations Committee member, introduced the item to Council. She noted that she was making the presentation on behalf of Chair Roy Woolsey who was unable to attend the meeting. Roger Burnell, Community Relations Committee, was also in attendance and available to respond to any questions Council might have. Council had the detailed proposal and the “Final 2008 Town Picnic Report” before them.

The Committee was recommending no increase to the previous year’s funding for the event and was requesting a budget of \$25,000. The 2008 Town Picnic had an attendance of approximately 1,500 residents and Town staff and expenditures were \$22,330.85. A similar format was proposed with entertainment, meals and beverages at no cost to residents attending. The event was scheduled for June 7, 2009.

Price explained that the proposal also included a request for assistance from Town staff with certain aspects of the Picnic, similar to the functions they had performed in recent years.

Council thanked Price and the Community Relations Committee for their tireless efforts and year long planning and preparation for the very successful community event.

MOTION SECONDED AND CARRIED: Moved by Warshawsky, seconded by Kerr and passed unanimously to approve a not-to-exceed appropriation of \$25,000.00 for the 2009 Town Picnic.

STAFF REPORTS

City Manager

City Attorney

City Clerk

No reports were given.

City Engineer – Update on Eastbrook Avenue Sanitary Sewer Line (Verbal Report)

City Engineer/Public Works Director Richard Chiu provided a brief report to Council on the status of the Eastbrook sewer line. Staff had met with the neighborhood representatives that had spoken at the previous Council meeting. The residents were in concurrence with the Town’s design and concept of abandoning the sewer line that crossed the creek, the Town’s management of the detail design, and management of the

construction project. The City Attorney had been in discussion with the residents regarding cost sharing options for the project.

COUNCIL INITIATED ITEMS

15. Consideration of Formation of New Standing Committee Charged with Water Conservation - Mayor Mordo

Mayor Mordo introduced the item to Council. He summarized his reasons for supporting the creation of a new Standing Committee. Mordo noted that the Environmental Initiatives Committee (EIC) had a very committed and active membership that was devoted to environmental issues. However, their primary focus has been directed towards energy issues and given the current water issues confronting the Town, including state mandated AB 1881, he believed it would be appropriate to form a new Standing Committee chartered with water conservation. Mayor Mordo introduced Planning Commissioner and EIC member John Harpootlian who had prepared a PowerPoint presentation to summarize water conservation needs.

John Harpootlian provided an overview of his recent efforts to address water conservation. As a member of the EIC, he had formed a subcommittee to review water conservation related issues. Members of the Standing EIC, Environmental Design and Protection Committee (EDP), and the Open Space Committee (OSC) had participated in the subcommittee's meetings. Additionally, the Purissima Hills Water District and California Water Service Company were extended invitations to the meetings.

Harpootlian proceeded with a PowerPoint Presentation that included recent news items on current forecasts for a drought and minimal rainfall this year; key components of AB 1881 (Water Conservation in Landscaping Act 2006); a graph of Los Altos Hill residential per capita water consumption that identified the Town as the #1 user of water in the region; graph of Purissima Hills Water District seasonal water use; and, possible effects of mandatory cutbacks in water allocation for the Town.

Harpootlian offered that this was the appropriate time for Council to form a Committee whose responsibility would be limited to the critical issue of water conservation. He proposed the development of effective programs to implement Town water conservation goals and support the Town's response to AB 1881.

Council Discussion:

Council consensus was to move forward with the formation of the Water Conservation Committee charged with advising Council on water issues. It was recommended that representatives of the OSC, EIC and EDP be included in the membership and the Water Districts be invited to participate.

Staff was directed to return the Resolution "Establishing Standing Committees..." with amendments to include the new committee and its charges for the Council's review at the next regularly scheduled meeting.

16. Consideration of Proposed Procedure for Appointment of VTA Members from the Six "North County" Cities – Mayor Mordo

Council had before them for their consideration a proposed procedure for appointment of VTA Board members from the six 'North County' cities (City Group 2). The proposal had been forwarded by the Honorable Christopher Moylan, Vice Mayor Sunnyvale.

Council discussion ensued. There was a general consensus of agreement to the principle that nominees to the VTA Board have expertise, experience or knowledge relative to transportation issues and meet the criteria outlined in the proposal. However, the Council opposed the ballot tabulation provision noting that the proportional voting was a disadvantage to a small community/population similar to the Town.

Council authorized a letter under the Mayor's signature that supported the qualifications requirement but rejected the proportional voting for the nominees.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the City Council meeting was adjourned by consensus of the Council at 9:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Karen Jost
City Clerk

The February 12, 2009 regular meeting minutes were approved as presented at the February 26, 2009 regular meeting.